| 1 | MINUTES OF THE |
|----|---|
| 2 | FOREVER WILD BOARD MEETING |
| 3 | FIVE STAR PLANTATION |
| 4 | Kellyton, Alabama |
| 5 | August 8, 2019 |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | * * * * * * * * * * |
| 9 | |
| 10 | TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS |
| 11 | |
| 12 | * * * * * * * * * * |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | Proceedings taken before Tracye |
| 17 | Sadler Blackwell, Certified Court Reporter, ACCR |
| 18 | No. 294, and Commissioner for the State of Alabama |
| 19 | at Large, at Five Star Plantation, 775 Ireland Way, |
| 20 | Kellyton, Alabama, on Thursday, August 8, 2019, |
| 21 | commencing at approximately 10:01 a.m. |
| 22 | |
| 23 | * * * * * * * * * |
| | 1 |

```
BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
 1
    Commissioner Christopher M. Blankenship, Chairman
    Dr. John Valentine
 3
    Mr. Horace H. Horn, Jr.
    Dr. Michael Woods
    Mr. David Wright
    Mr. Russ A. Runyan
 5
    Dr. Patricia Sims
 6
    Dr. Lori Tolley-Jordan
    Dr. Douglas Watson
 7
    Mr. John Hall
 8
9
        CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Good morning. I'd like
10
              to welcome everybody to the August 8th
11
              Forever Wild Board Meeting. I'm going
12
              to do a quick roll call just to make
13
              sure we have a quorum. When I call your
14
              name, just indicate whether you're
15
              present.
16
                   Chris Blankenship, here.
17
                   Charles Ball?
18
                     (No response.)
19
        CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Horace Horn?
20
       MR. HORN: Here.
21
        CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Rick
2.2
                   Oates?
23
                     (No response.)
```

```
CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Sims?
1
       DR. SIMS: Here.
3
       CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Tolley-Jordan?
       DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Here.
       CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Valentine?
5
6
       DR. VALENTINE: Here.
       CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Watson?
8
       DR. WATSON: Here.
       CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Woods?
9
       DR. WOODS: Here.
10
11
       CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Wright?
       MR. WRIGHT: Here.
12
13
       CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: John Hall?
       MR. HALL: Here.
14
15
       CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Runyan?
16
       MR. RUNYAN: Here.
       CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Raymond Jones?
17
                     (No response.)
18
       CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Saloom?
19
20
                     (No response.)
       CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Satterfield?
21
2.2
                     (No response.)
       CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So we have ten members
23
```

present. We do have a quorum.

So welcome this morning. I would like to give the manager here at Five Star an opportunity to welcome us.

Harry, would you introduce yourself, and feel free to provide any information to the Board and the group that you would like.

MR. PASISIS: Absolutely. I'm going to make it very brief.

On behalf of the membership and all the staff here at Five Star, we want to welcome you. I would like to just briefly tell you a little bit about the history very, very briefly.

This is known as the Ann Jordan Farm from the 1840s. She raised a grandson, Sidney Mitchell, that grew up here. We can all imagine they lived kind of a rough life. Some of their favorite activities were hunting. As Sidney grew up, he attended prep school in Georgia and ended up serving in the Navy. While

he was in the Navy, the Navy
commissioned Thomas Edison to light the
first ship -- to electrify the first
ship, and he happened to be on that boat
and helped him. When he got out of the
Navy, he sought out Thomas Edison.
After a little period of time, he went
to work for him. Pretty much ended up
lighting up the west coast of the United
States. Became a very, very wealthy
man.

When his grandmother passed away,
he, of course, inherited this property.
He lived in New York for years and
years. Really never came. When Alabama
Power dedicated the Jordan Dam on the
Coosa River to his grandmother, he
returned for the dedication, came back
to the land, kind of fell back in love
with it, started purchasing a lot of
land again after his grandmother had
sold some during hard times, and built
what we know as the Ann Jordan Lodge and

entertained people here pretty much the last 20 years of his life.

In his passing it was deeded over to the University of Alabama. They entertained people here for about 40, 50 years. And after it saw its height,
Mr. Bill Ireland purchased a little less than 3,000 acres from the University, and we lease another approximate 3,000 acres from the University.

There's some more detailed information on our website. We've got a little bit more information at the front desk if you'd like.

A little bit about what we do. We are a private club. We've got right at 99 memberships that are out. We've got -- we're limited to 100 memberships. We're primarily an upland bird-hunting club. 95 percent of our activity here is upland birds. We release quail, chukar, and pheasant. About 125,000 birds are released here on a season. We

2.2

see about 2400 hunters here. We have lodging, dining. We have some beautiful, beautiful outdoor areas. We have sporting clays, Five Stand. We have four fishing lakes with boats on them. We've got horseback riding.

We've got 83 dogs in our kennels, and we're expanding. And we're glad you're here.

If there's anything I can do for you or our staff, please let me know. Take the opportunity afterwards if you want to come and walk around. Or if you have any questions, seek me out, and I'll be happy to help you. Welcome.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, Harry. This is definitely a beautiful place to meet, and I appreciate y'all's hospitality.

And thank you, Mr. Horn, for suggesting that we meet here. This has been — this is a very nice place and very nice atmosphere. So thank you so much.

2.2

I would like to recognize Coosa 1 Councilwoman Bertha McElrath that is here in the back. Thank you for joining 3 us today. You have a beautiful county. 5 MS. McELRATH: Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So now we'll move into the public comment period. Currently I only have a few people that desire to 8 9 make public comment. If you would like to make comment and you have not filled 10 11 out one of the green slips, then please see some of our staff in the back or 12 13 just hold your hand up and we'll get you 14 a green slip. But our first speaker will be Kent 15 16 Gilges on the Red Hills-Flat Creek Phase III. Red Hills-Flat Creek 17 Phase III, Tab 4-B, page 21. 4-B, 21. 18 If you would give everybody just a 19 second to find that, sir. 20 All right, sir. Go ahead. 21 MR. GILGES: Good morning. Thank you very 2.2 23 much for the opportunity to speak this

morning. My name is Kent Gilges. I'm a partner and a member of a company called Conservation Resources, LLC. We own about 40,000 acres in Alabama, a lot of it in Monroe County, and we've recently purchased some additional land in Conecuh and generally in that area.

A bunch of the land that we own in Monroe County has an endangered species on it called the Red Hills Salamander.

We've been working with The Nature

Conservancy and The Conservation Fund to try to protect as much of that as possible. And with the help of Alabama Forever Wild and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, we've made some very good protection moves on the Red Hills Salamander habitat already.

What I'm asking for today is that
Alabama Forever Wild vote in favor of a
first appraisal on what we're calling
the Red Hills-Flat Creek Phase III.
It's about 2700 acres. It would add to

land that has already been protected currently from stuff that we own and some other things that The Nature Conservancy has worked on previously.

I see to the protection. The first is it would leverage significant funds from U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. We expect for the Phase III that at some time in the very near future they may allocate about -- just under \$5 million in federal funds that would go towards the acquisition of this habit. And so that's federal money that can improve recreational and wildlife opportunities in Monroe County.

The second real potential here, I was meeting with Fish and Wildlife
Service yesterday down in Daphne. And they're redoing the recovery plan for Red Hills Salamander. It is a species that only occurs in the Red Hills in Alabama, and it's a limited number of

acres that it occurs on. We think
there's a really good possibility that
we can protect enough acres that we
could delist the species, and Fish and
Wildlife Service agrees with that. This
would go a long way towards protecting
enough acres within one of the genetic
varieties for Red Hills Salamander that
we could meet that threshold that
they're looking at, which is about
50 percent of the acres protected.

Delisting the species means that it opens up the ability for land managers to operate without having the restrictions of an endangered species on it. So we think there's a real win-win here. We as a company are committed to try and improve that. So I appreciate your consideration of it and ask you to vote in favor of a first appraisal. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir.

All right. Our next speaker will be

Mr. Steve Northcutt on the same property, the Red Hills-Flat Creek

Phase III.

2.2

MR. NORTHCUTT: Good morning. Steve Northcutt with The Nature Conservancy. I'd like to talk a little bit about the history of acquisitions in the Red Hills.

If you go back to like 2008, 2009, there were several acquisitions that were done that kind of started this process. And the Forever Wild Board and the Department of Conservation and their folks who write grants have done a fantastic job at leveraging your money.

If you go back to the previous acquisitions, \$4.64 million was received from U.S. Fish and Wildlife to leverage your Forever Wild match. In May the Board agreed to acquire three properties, second appraisal and closing, and that was leveraging another \$2.55 million. So if you look at the history of leveraged money, getting

federal dollars to help buy this property, it's quite a great story.

So this third phase that Kent was mentioning, this would be based on a \$4.9 million U.S. Fish and Wildlife grant through what they call Section 6 Recovery. As long as I've been working — about 19 years — in conservation, I have never heard of that level of grant request being honored under that particular RLA Fish and Wildlife. So I give a lot of credit to the Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries folks writing that grant and promoting this property.

So today we would ask for you to consider a first appraisal on this 2600 acres that's on the map that you looked at.

I'm also going to ask you to consider something -- at the last May board meeting Bill Satterfield had a concern over a 20-acre inholding. And

those are not uncommon in land that's owned by the state, but today -- we contacted the owner of that 20-acre tract. And so he is a willing seller, and you could actually acquire that property if the numbers came out right in a first appraisal. That's called the Baucom Tract. That's Tab 4-B, page 19.

I know that that was a concern from the May meeting. And so I wanted to make sure that today I would also ask that you consider a first appraisal on the Flat Creek Phase III and the Baucom Tract. It's about a 20-acre tract.

And I'll mention something else.

When I called the owner, he had bought that property for some landowners that were going to clearcut it. And he thought that the property was so unique — because it's fairly mature longleaf pine — that he bought it. So the idea of it going into conservation is something he would really support,

and it would eliminate one of the 1 inholdings that the Board had questions about at the May meeting. 3 So, again, my request would be to consider a first appraisal on Flat Creek 5 6 Phase III, about 2700 acres, and a first appraisal on the Baucom Tract of 20 acres. 8 9 Any questions I could answer? (No response.) 10 11 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you. Our third speaker is Chuck Byrd on 12 13 the Prairie Grove Glades, Tab 4-B, page 17. 4-B, 17. 14 MR. BYRD: Thank you. My name is Chuck Byrd. 15 I'm a land steward with The Nature 16 17 Conservancy. I manage The Nature Conservancy preserves all over the 18 19 state. Prairie Grove Glades is kind of a 20 unique parcel that we have, and I think 21 that it would be best that the state 2.2 23 acquire this. It's sort of a unique

_

jewel of biological diversity within the northwest Alabama plant community.

This property -- we've held it for several years, and a number of universities have come and done studies, done surveys, taken educational classes out there to really teach their students, their up-and-coming biologists and botanists, about some of the unique things that are only found in Alabama.

I'm going to ask -- there were some questions last time about if The Conservancy would be willing to do a bargain sale, and I found out that we will do a bargain sale for the parcels that we own. I think 60 cents on the dollar value was what we discussed.

There is another parcel that is owned by a private landowner who is a willing seller as well. They're not able to be here. But unfortunately, because it's private land, they're looking to put their kids through

3

Δ

6

5

8

10

9

1112

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

college through this funding, and they'll need the actual appraised value.

There were some other questions about a very specific plant, the bladderpod, that's on there that's listed, about the management of it. Ιn talking with the recovery league with the U.S. Wildlife and Freshwater Service, there are potentially other ways to manage that plant besides the current arrangement with the cattle that's on there now. So the additional cattle is not necessarily required, but it's a way that we're basically helping out our neighbors and helping out the environment at the same time. But it's not necessarily a requirement for that specific plant.

So, again, I would ask for a first appraisal on the Prairie Grove Glades property. And if you have any additional questions, I'll be happy to answer them.

- MR. RUNYAN: Other than the cattle, what are the other options on managing it?
- MR. BYRD: Well, there's bush-hogging. That's something that's been used in the past when there's been issues with the cattle. And that's done okay. It's not as good as the cattle, but it still accomplishes a large portion of what that plant needs to spread its seed. So while large ungulate disturbance would be best, you know, bush-hogging or mechanical treatment would be sufficient for the propagation of the plant.
- MS. McCURDY: And I'll just let the Board know, on Tab 4-B, page 17, we had mentioned -- provided a little bit of additional information if we used a fire regime or other -- you know, bush-hogging or other methods on that tract.

And also while I'm standing up and interrupting to clarify, I believe that the other owner, the private owner that

you mentioned, that is all part of this 1 nomination. You'll see them on the map, Owner 1 and Owner 2. It's all part of 3 the same nomination. Sew what you see is what we currently know would be 5 6 available and associated with that nomination. MR. WRIGHT: The cattle grazing -- I assume 8 this is a fenced area? 9 MR. BYRD: Yes, sir. 10 11 MR. WRIGHT: Maintained by the farmer with the 12 cows? 13 MR. BYRD: Yes, sir. MR. WRIGHT: Where would we stand with a -- I 14 noticed you put in here about the lease. 15 I mean, we could continue a cattle 16 lease, but are we in that business? 17 MS. McCURDY: It would -- well, we're 18 19 generally not in that business. I think, though, when you're looking at 20 options for land management -- and we've 21 had some questions on expenses -- that 2.2

if instead of using the cattle as

2.2

they've been used for grazing purposes for the management — for benefit of the bladderpod, if we did something else, what would it be and how much would it cost. And so we could absolutely either bid out the lease and continue that — we just couldn't — we would want to bid that out. If we didn't, then you would be looking at bush-hogging and fire regime. And so we think that — and TNC has offered to help with the fire regime — but that the bush-hogging — the expense we think might be an annual expense between 1500 to 2500 annually.

We can go either direction. I just think that the cattle was a way of -- a no-cost way to very effectively keep the soil current, and so -- well, keep the plants down. And so that would be up to the Board, if they chose to acquire the tract, which direction you wanted to go, if you want to acquire it.

MR. WRIGHT: So did I hear you correctly say

that the cattle grazing would be okay 1 with the Forever Wild program? MS. McCURDY: Yes. We would want --3 MR. WRIGHT: You would do a formal lease? MS. McCURDY: We would want an actual formal 6 lease instead of an informal arrangement to be very clear of rights. Also, generally, although honestly what I 8 9 would expect this activity to be, I'm not positive we would be required by law 10 11 to bid it out, but that would be our normal practice is to be sure anyone who 12 13 might want to take advantage of that 14 would have the opportunity. But, yes, very doable. We would 15 16 just want a formal arrangement instead of an informal arrangement. 17 MR. WRIGHT: And this road that looks like 18 19 transverses right through the property, is this a state, county -- or what type 20 of road is it? 21 MR. BYRD: It's a gravel road. I believe it's 2.2

a county road.

| 1 | MS. McCURDY: Dr. Watson, you had your hand |
|-----|--|
| 2 | up. |
| 3 | DR. WATSON: The private owner, is that |
| 4 | Owner 2 or Owner 1 on that map, blue |
| 5 | dots or red dots? |
| 6 | MS. McCURDY: Would be Owner 2. |
| 7 | DR. WATSON: Thank you. |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any further questions? |
| 9 | (No response.) |
| LO | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir. |
| L1 | MR. BYRD: Thank you. |
| L2 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Are there any other |
| L3 | people that would like to make public |
| L 4 | comment? |
| L5 | (No response.) |
| L6 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seeing none, that ends |
| L 7 | our public comment section. We'll now |
| L8 | retire into executive session to discuss |
| L9 | appraisal. |
| 20 | By regulation, appraisal values are |
| 21 | confidential during periods of |
| 22 | negotiation. Accordingly, in order to |
| 23 | discuss tract appraisal values, the |

Board will need to go into recess for an 1 executive session. Is there a motion for the Board to 3 now recess into an executive session? MR. HORN: So move. 5 6 DR. SIMS: Second. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Moved by Mr. Horn, seconded by Dr. Sims. 8 9 As I call your name, please state your position on this motion since I do 10 11 need to have this as a roll call vote. If you're in favor, say "aye." If 12 13 you're not in favor, say "no." 14 Chris Blankenship, yes. Mr. Horn? 15 16 MR. HORN: Yes. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Sims? 17 DR. SIMS: Yes. 18 19 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Tolley-Jordan? DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Yes. 20 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Valentine? 21 DR. VALENTINE: Aye. 2.2 23 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Watson?

| 1 | DR. WATSON: Yes. |
|-----|--|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Woods? |
| 3 | DR. WOODS: Aye. |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Wright? |
| 5 | MR. WRIGHT: Yes. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Hall? |
| 7 | MR. HALL: Yes. |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Runyan? |
| 9 | MR. RUNYAN: Aye. |
| LO | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: That's all in favor. |
| L1 | So we'll now be in executive session. |
| L2 | It is 10:20. We hope to return by |
| L3 | 10:40. |
| L 4 | (Recess for executive session was |
| L5 | taken at approximately 10:20 a.m. |
| L6 | and the meeting was called back to |
| L 7 | order at approximately 10:47 a.m.) |
| L8 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So we'll resume the |
| L9 | meeting back from executive session. It |
| 20 | is 10:47, and we are back to resume the |
| 21 | meeting. And I will now move to the |
| 22 | program status report and financial |
| 23 | data. Patti, Tab 2. |

MS. McCURDY: Yes. Start with Tab 2-A in your packet. While the Board is -- and you'll be in your green folder, your yellow 2-A. That's the most updated version.

While the Board is getting to Tab 2-A, for benefit of the public, at this point of the meeting each time I'll run through as briefly as I can -- not take up too much time -- but a little bit of the financial information which assists with determining how much money is available to the Board for action today. We keep a running list of what I call obligations. Again, just like your personal checkbook, you write a check because you've made an obligation to purchase something. Maybe that check hasn't cleared, but you need to keep up with it so you know actually how much money you have available to spend.

So if you just look at the account -- in the current land

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

acquisition account we have about \$32 million. However, I now need to go through what you've committed to previously to get to how much you have available today.

The tracts that are currently in various states of closing and sort of final negotiation with landowners following a motion to proceed with purchase from the Board are the following:

Cahaba River-Savage Creek Tract,
Shelby County. Grand Bay
Savanna-Richard Addition, Mobile County.
Guntersville State Park-Smith Cemetery
Addition, Marshall. Red Hills-Parris
Trust Addition, Monroe. Skyline
WMA-Crow Mountain Addition, Jackson
County. Beaverdam Swamp, Limestone.
Blackwater River South Tract, Baldwin.
D'Olive Bay, Baldwin. Red Hills-Flat
Creek Phase I, Monroe County. Red
Hills-Flat Creek Phase II and Red

Hills-Section 2.

When you take into account all of those encumbrances, you have about \$13 million. However, we also each meeting have to look at where we are as far as spending authority, which is different from your unencumbered cash balance. There are certain state budgetary procedures that drive how much you are authorized to spend each fiscal year. The state fiscal years run from October 1st to September 30th. So, obviously, here in August we're getting to the end of the fiscal year.

Taking all that into account that we have to for spending authority, the Board only has approximately \$4.6 million available for acquisitions and also the 15-percent stewardship transfer. Again, for benefit of the public, for each acquisition the Board makes or donation of acreage it accepts, even if it's a 100-percent donation of

acreage, the Board transfers 15 percent of the appraised value of the Forever Wild acreage into a stewardship account that's designed for perpetual maintenance and care and improvements on all of the program tracts.

So, again, you're authorized -- your spending authority is about \$4.6 million. Unless there are questions -- any questions on that before I move on?

(No response.)

MS. McCURDY: I did want to update the Board on progress as to tracts that have closed this fiscal year. For benefit of the public, I'll just include all the tracts as I go that have either closed so far or offers were declined by the owners. So this will give you the status of everything that we've been working on this fiscal year.

Barbour WMA-Leak Creek Addition has closed. Big Canoe Creek-Epps Addition closed. Caldwell Swamp Tract

nomination, offer was declined. 1 Coldwater Mountain-Martin Addition was declined. Coldwater Mountain-Rice 3 Addition has closed. Coldwater Mountain-Sarrell Addition has closed. 5 Freedom Hills WMA-Buzzard Roost Creek 6 Addition, that one was declined. Freedom Hills WMA-Robbins Addition 8 closed. Grand Bay Savanna-Little River 10 11 Addition, that was a donation to the program. That has closed. 12 13 Slaughter Swap has closed. Tannehill-Ayers Addition closed. And 14 Weeks Bay Reserve-Meadows Phase III 1.5 Additions has closed. 16 So that's where we are so far for 17 things that have been just sort of --18 disposition is final on those. 19 If there are no questions, I will 20 then give an overview of the stewardship 21 fund. Again, this is the fund that is 2.2 23 financed through the 15-percent

stewardship transfer on acquisitions.

The Board had previously given staff authority up to \$1.5 million of those funds between earnings and corpus to take care of tract needs. Again, we're getting kind of close to the end of the year, so you'll see \$311,000 still available for expenditures. So we're okay. We've got enough. But that number does look a little low because we're coming in close to the end of the fiscal year.

I will report to the Board just to give you kind of some efforts that my staff has worked hard on that has defrayed some of the costs that we would normally have to pull from stewardship money.

We had a grant through ADECA under the Recreational Trails Program, some federal money that ADECA administers.

We were able to get \$144,000 through that program for some improvements in

3

4

5

6

8

10

9

11 12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

equipment that defrayed costs on work that we needed to do. That was a big help.

I also wanted to thank both the Alabama Forestry Foundation and the Alabama Forestry Association. worked with NFWF -- National Fish and Wildlife Foundation -- I just always say NFWF -- worked with NFWF through one of their programs to get us some funds to cover reforestation costs related to taking some habitat back to shortleaf -or reforestation from shortleaf back in north Alabama. Those funds were about \$211,000. So, again, that's an example of working both with partners and trying to leverage grant money to defray costs that would otherwise have to come out of stewardship.

So that's some good news. But just some behind-the-scenes of how we do try to watch that money closely and take advantage of whatever we can.

Any questions on the stewardship fund status?

- MR. HORN: And any property that we purchase adds to the -- there is an amount added to the stewardship fund with that purchase?
- MS. McCURDY: That is correct. Purchase or donation, each property is considered to need to sort of carry its weight in the stewardship fund. So even if it's a 100-percent donation from the owner or 100-percent covered with grant funds, that money has to transfer into the stewardship because there will be maintenance needs on all the tracts.

In your packets at this time, too, you will see 2-C, which is a little bit new. We're going to start doing this each meeting, also, which is sort of a quarterly investment report that the State Treasurer and Commissioner have worked together to give the Board a little additional information.

Commissioner, do you want to say anything about that?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Sure. There's been questions in the last several meetings over the last few years about the stewardship fund and investments and the returns on the investments. And so working with -- beginning with Treasurer Boozer and then with the new treasurer, McMillan, we've tried to produce a report that shows the investments in the stewardship fund and what the market value of each of those particular funds is and then what the return has been over the last quarter and then also showing that return in that quarter against the benchmark.

If anybody has any questions about that, I'll be glad to try and answer those or come sit down with you. But just trying to find a format that works best to provide the information for the Board on the stewardship fund and the

3

5

6

8

10

status of that fund. 1 Any questions on that today? (No response.) 3 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thanks. MS. McCURDY: Thank you. 6 If you'll now move to Tab 3. Again, from your green folder you will have a yellow 3-A. If you will pull that. 8 9 This is the point in the meeting where we run through the status of 10 11 appraisals that the Board has motioned for first appraisal on tracts and kind 12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

of update the Board on which appraisals
we have gotten in or not yet. And it
also usually shows you sort of how much
money you've got, the tracts that you're
considering, which generally is more
than the money you've got, but we'll run
through it.

Okay. The appraisals that we have
actually back in hand currently --

actually back in hand currently -meaning these tracts are available for
board consideration as to a motion for

second appraisal and to proceed to purchase -- for benefit of the public, by law each tract has to have -- that we purchase has to have at least two appraisals. Those two appraisals have to come in within 10 percent of each other or we have to do a reconciliation appraisal. I won't go into all that, but that is a little behind the scenes of what, A, the law requires and what I mean when I say it's available for that motion for second appraisal and to proceed to purchase.

If the second appraisal comes in within the 10-percent range, that gives staff authority to proceed with purchase to close unless we hit a glitch or a question that we need to come back to the Board with.

All right. Appraisals that we have in hand as of this meeting: The Cahaba River-Mohon Tract in Bibb County. Monte Sano State Park-McCombs Addition,

Madison County. Red Hills-Brown Schutt Trust Additions in Monroe County. And Thigpen Hill in Butler County.

In round numbers that's just shy of roughly, I'd say, about \$18 million or so worth of appraisal values. And so that obviously exceeds the amount I gave you earlier of your authorized spending amount.

I do want to go ahead and update the Board and the public so everyone will know where we are on various tracts that previously received a motion for second appraisal.

The Coldwater Mountain-Young

Addition, that is out with the

appraiser. Just was not complete in

time to bring to you for this meeting.

We have two appraisals that have not yet been initiated because we do not yet have -- either we don't have complete deed information from the landowners or we don't quite have some questions

/

answered. We are careful not to seek appraisals before we have exactly what the appraiser clearly needs to be appraising. That just causes expense and corrections later and frankly confusion. Those two tracts are the Cedar Creek SOA Addition, Dallas County, and the Locust Fork-Palmer Tract which crosses over Blount and Jefferson County.

I want to update the Board on one appraisal that we had not initiated and that we will not be initiating related to the Hollins WMA Addition. In the intervening time since that motion the landowner sold the property. The new landowner has been contacted, but they don't have any desire to turn around and sell it. So that one is dead. So I just wanted to update the Board on that.

Any questions from the Board on any of the financial information that we covered?

1 (No response.) CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you. MS. McCURDY: All right. Very good. 3 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Next up will be Doug Deaton and Keith Gauldin on the grant 5 6 status. MR. DEATON: So the grant status is found in Tab 5-A. 8 9 I'd like to mention for the Board and those attending the meeting today 10 11 that DCNR staff, both State Lands and 12 Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries, 13 routinely try to find grant opportunities for land acquisition to 14 help further the Forever Wild dollar. 15 16 At each meeting we give an update of what we have to report, what we've 17 received, and what we've applied for. 18 And as noted in the memo provided, 19 staff has submitted grant applications 20 for both RLA and HCP Section 6 funding 21

2.2

23

through the U.S. Fish and Wildlife

Service. These funds if awarded would

3

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

be applied to the Red Hills-Brown Schutt
Trust nomination and the Red Hills-Flat
Creek Phase III nomination. And I'll do
a quick overview of those tracts.

The Red Hills-Brown Schutt Trust, it's on the appraised nomination list, Tab 3-B, page 7. It's just over 2100 acres. The potential funding amount is \$4 million. Right now you have a first appraisal completed. appraised nomination has reached its "No Board Action" status for today for the Board's consideration. If you would like to leave that on the list, you'll need to do that later in the meeting here. The portion purchased by the grant would be deeded to Forever Wild, and the balance -- I mean, to Wildlife, and the balance would be deeded to the Forever Wild Land Trust.

The second tract is the Red

Hills-Flat Creek Phase III Tract. It is

on the short list nomination, Tab 4-B,

2.2

page 21, which we had two folks speak to that earlier. It's just over 2700 acres. Staff has applied for a \$4.98 million grant to be applied to that tract if we receive it. The acreage purchased with the grant funds would be deeded to Wildlife, and the balance would be deeded to Forever Wild. The tract is on the short list today, and the action that the Board could take would be for a first appraisal if you so choose.

So that's all I have on the Red Hills. Any questions about Red Hills tracts?

(No response.)

MR. DEATON: The next we have is a NOAA grant for the Weeks Bay Reserve-Snook

Addition. It's an 80-acre tract where we have acquired a NOAA grant. It would cover 50 percent of appraised value. If the Board decided to take any action today, it would be a motion for a first

appraisal.

If there's no questions, that's all I have. And Keith Gauldin ...

MR. GAULDIN: Good morning. Director Sykes could not come today. He asked me to fill in for him today. Keith Gauldin, Section Chief for the Wildlife Section of the Alabama Division of Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries.

We appreciate the continued partnership we've had with the Forever Wild Board and working with the State Lands Division. Their personnel have been great help. A great partnership in managing these acquisitions.

We would like to continue this partnership in moving forward with the acquisition of the Cedar Creek-Elm Bluff Addition. It's on Tab 4, page 4, I believe, on the description of that, and the map is on Tab 4, page 4. It will tie up the southwest portion of the Cedar Creek -- present Cedar Creek SOA.

We would like to request that a motion 1 be made for first appraisal for this tract. 3 If you have any questions, I'll be glad to answer them. 5 On the split, we will continue that 6 approximate 25/75 percent ratio split with 25 percent of the acquisition cost 8 being from Forever Wild funds and 75 percent coming from our apportionments 10 11 that we receive from the Pittman-Robertson Act. 12 13 Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: No questions. Thank you, Keith. 15 16 Next up is Jo on Tab 4 to run through the short list. 17 MS. LEWIS: Good morning. For the audience 18 and the minutes, I'm Jo Lewis. I'm part 19 of the staff of the State Lands 20 Division. Today I'm going to run 21 through the short-list presentation for 2.2

the nominations that score best.

The short list is comprised of the top-three scoring nominations in each category of use in each geographic region of the state. There are four categories of use, and there's three geographic regions. Hypothetically there could be 36 nominations on this list, but due to some positions on the list not being filled, if there is no nomination that fills the need — or scores well in parks or wildlife or whatever, they may be empty, and some score really well in more than one.

Today we have 16 nominations on the short list. They range in size from 20 acres to over 11,000 acres. They represent 12 different counties, and they're distributed throughout the state from Lawrence County to Monroe County.

This is Tab 4-A. Tab 4-B represents narratives and maps of each of these.

As I go through them, Evan is going to flip through them on the PowerPoint or

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

you can look at them in your packet.

The second page of 4-A is simply a rearranging of the nominations so that you can see where they scored, where they short-listed, whether category of use or geographic region.

So to go through our list, we have Cedar Creek SOA-Elm Bluff Addition in Dallas County. Coldwater Mountain-Andrews Addition in Calhoun County. Coldwater Mountain-Oxanna Addition in Calhoun County. Hobbs Island, Madison County. Magnolia South Tract, Baldwin County. Mobile-Tensaw Delta-Three Lakes Tract in Clarke County. Penitentiary Mountain in Shelby County. Prairie Grove Glades Tract in Lawrence County. Red Hills-Baucom Addition, Monroe County. Red Hills-Flat Creek Phase III, Monroe County. Saginaw Swamp, Shelby County. Shelby Crossroads, Shelby County. Sipsey River Swamp-Mill Creek Addition, Tuscaloosa

County. Skyline Wildlife Management 1 Area-Bishop's Cove, Jackson County. Weeks Bay Reserve-Snook Addition, 3 Baldwin County. And, finally, Yates-Porter Addition in Elmore County. 6 I'll be happy to answer any questions you have about the nominations. Additionally, in Tab 4 we 8 have Tab 4-C, which is a list of all the active nominations available to the 10 program -- or competing to get on the 11 short list. That was based on checking 12 13 with all the landowners in January as to 14 whether or not they were still willing sellers. 15 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any questions for Jo? 16 17 (No response.) CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, Jo. 18 19 MS. LEWIS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: We'll now move into 20 general discussion of board members. 21 This is generally the portion of the 2.2 23 meeting where motions are made for first

| 1 | or second appraisals or any other |
|-----|--|
| 2 | discussion you would like to have. So I |
| 3 | open the floor for any of the members. |
| 4 | MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Commissioner? |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir, Mr. Wright. |
| 6 | MR. WRIGHT: I'd like to motion for first |
| 7 | appraisal for Coldwater Mountain-Oxanna |
| 8 | Addition which would give us better |
| 9 | access to the Coldwater Complex than we |
| LO | already have up there. |
| L1 | DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Second. |
| L2 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Motion made by |
| L3 | Mr. Wright, seconded by |
| L 4 | Dr. Tolley-Jordan. |
| L5 | Any discussion? |
| L6 | (No response.) |
| L 7 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor say |
| L8 | "aye." |
| L9 | (All board members present respond |
| 20 | "aye.") |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed? |
| 22 | (No response.) |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: None opposed. Motion |

| 1 | carries. |
|----|---|
| 2 | DR. WOODS: I'd like to make a motion for a |
| 3 | first appraisal for Cedar Creek SOA-Elm |
| 4 | Bluff Addition. |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. Dr. Woods |
| 6 | made a motion for first appraisal for |
| 7 | the Cedar Creek-Elm Bluff. Is there a |
| 8 | second? |
| 9 | DR. WATSON: Second. |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by Dr. Watson. |
| 11 | Any discussion? |
| 12 | (No response.) |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor say |
| 14 | "aye." |
| 15 | (All board members present respond |
| 16 | "aye.") |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed? |
| 18 | (No response.) |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: None opposed. Motion |
| 20 | carries. |
| 21 | Dr. Valentine. |
| 22 | DR. VALENTINE: I'd like to nominate the Weeks |
| 23 | Bay Reserve-Snook Addition for a first |

| 1 | appraisal. This will be an important |
|-----|---|
| 2 | contribution to the National Estuarine |
| 3 | Reserve down in Baldwin County. |
| 4 | DR. WOODS: Second. |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: A motion by |
| 6 | Dr. Valentine, seconded by Dr. Woods. |
| 7 | Any discussion? |
| 8 | (No response.) |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor say |
| LO | "aye." |
| L1 | (All board members present respond |
| L2 | "aye.") |
| L3 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed? |
| L 4 | (No response.) |
| L5 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: None opposed. Motion |
| L6 | carries. |
| L 7 | DR. SIMS: Mr. Commissioner, I'd like to move |
| L8 | for a second appraisal and proceed to |
| L9 | purchase for the Monte Sano State |
| 20 | Park-McCombs Addition. |
| 21 | MR. HORN: I'll second that. |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So a motion was made by |
| 23 | Dr. Sims and seconded by Mr. Horn on the |

| 1 | Monte Sano State Park-McCombs Addition. |
|----|---|
| 2 | DR. SIMS: McCombs Addition. |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you. That's how |
| 4 | long my memory is. |
| 5 | All right. Any discussion on that? |
| 6 | And that is a second appraisal and |
| 7 | proceed to purchase; correct? |
| 8 | DR. SIMS: Proceed to purchase. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. Everybody |
| 10 | clear on that? Sorry if I messed that |
| 11 | up. |
| 12 | All those in favor say "aye." |
| 13 | (All board members present respond |
| 14 | "aye.") |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed? |
| 16 | (No response.) |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: None opposed. Motion |
| 18 | carries. |
| 19 | MR. HORN: Mr. Chairman? |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir, Mr. Horn. |
| 21 | MR. HORN: I'd like to move for a second |
| 22 | appraisal and proceed to purchase on the |
| 23 | Cahaba River-Mohon Tract. |

| 1 | DR. SIMS: Second. |
|-----|---|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. The Cahaba |
| 3 | River-Mohon Tract, a motion for second |
| 4 | appraisal and move to purchase made by |
| 5 | Mr. Horn, seconded by Dr. Sims. |
| 6 | Any questions, comments, discussion? |
| 7 | (No response.) |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor say |
| 9 | "aye." |
| LO | (All board members present respond |
| L1 | "aye.") |
| L2 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed? |
| L3 | (No response.) |
| L 4 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: None opposed. Motion |
| L5 | carries. |
| L6 | DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Mr. Commissioner? |
| L 7 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, ma'am. |
| L8 | DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: I'd like to nominate for a |
| L9 | second appraisal and move to purchase |
| 20 | the Red Hills-Brown Schutt Trust |
| 21 | Addition in Monroe County contingent on |
| 22 | the Section 6 funds received by the |
| 23 | State Lands Division for \$4 million. |

I guess that's how I say that.

- MS. McCURDY: That is exactly -- I would say it's probably better not to -- unless you want to limit exactly what the amount is --
- DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: I'll retract that part.

 Do I need to restate or ...
- MS. McCURDY: And let me tell the Board, too, so no one is nervous.

If the grant award comes back -- if we are successful and it is awarded but it is less than what we've discussed -- significantly less than what we've discussed about the anticipated grant funding, then we will hold. We're not going to -- you know, if it comes back less than we would hope for and anticipate for, we'll come back to this Board. But what the motion would allow would be for -- you know, if it comes back as hoped for and expected is staff to proceed on with the next step.

So that was a lot of intervening

2.2

discussion on my part, but I wanted to 1 explain why I would say take out that amount specifically, because we don't 3 know a specific dollar amount that we might get. But I do assure the Board if 5 6 it is outside what has been discussed in our grant updates that we will come back to the Board. 8 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So the motion by Dr. Tolley-Jordan is to get a second 10 11 appraisal and proceed to purchase contingent upon the state receiving 12 13 grant funding from the Section 6 for the Red Hills-Brown Schutt Addition. 14 there a second? 15 16 (Multiple "seconds".) Seconded by the Board. 17 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: But since Mr. Horn is sitting next to 18 me, I heard him first. You'll be 19 credited with the second. 20 Any further discussion on that? 21 (No response.) 2.2 23 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor say

| 1 | "aye." |
|-----|--|
| 2 | (All board members present respond |
| 3 | "aye.") |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed? |
| 5 | (No response.) |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: None opposed. Motion |
| 7 | carries. |
| 8 | DR. WOODS: Mr. Commissioner? |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Woods. |
| LO | DR. WOODS: I would like to make a motion for |
| L1 | a first appraisal on the Prairie Grove |
| L2 | Glades Tract. |
| L3 | DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Second. |
| L 4 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So the motion by |
| L5 | Dr. Woods and seconded by |
| L6 | Dr. Tolley-Jordan is for a first |
| L 7 | appraisal on the Prairie Grove Glades |
| L8 | Tract. |
| L9 | DR. WOODS: Yes. Correct. |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any discussion? |
| 21 | (No response.) |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor say |
| 23 | "ave." |

- 1 MR. RUNYAN: Hold on.
- 2 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Oh, I'm sorry.
 3 Mr. Runyan.

MI. Kullyall

2.2

- MR. RUNYAN: All right. Now, on this one, if we maintain it, there will be an annual cost either through -- to manage it, correct, in addition to --
- MS. McCURDY: I would anticipate there would not be as much if the cattle grazing would continue. If we chose to go a route without the benefit of the grazing, then we would have some expense between prescribed burn and bush-hogging that -- you know, the rough estimate the staff has put at somewhere annually between \$1500 and \$2500 that I would say would be a specific expense to that tract as opposed to what we might call routine maintenance at the tracts, so yes.
- MR. RUNYAN: Does the Board have somebody in place that could help manage that?
- MS. McCURDY: I'm sorry. Well, TNC has -- The

/

Nature Conservancy has offered to assist with the fire regime and management.

When you say in place, our staff has to -- you know, goes to all the tracts.

We don't really have a scenario like possibly you might have with state parks, some state parks where you've got staff on site or maybe a wildlife management area where you actually have someone there.

So, no, there would not be somebody there full-time. It would be managed as other tracts around the state are managed as far as requiring, you know, some travel by staff, but that's associated with several tracts that we have. But that is a specific expense.

And also for the Board I bring up those specific amounts not due to a special concern, so to speak, by staff, but it was specifically asked of us at the last meeting if the grazing might not be the route the Board wanted to go,

what would be the expense associated with management of that tract. So that's why we've come back with that precise figure -- or I say precise -- estimate on this tract specifically because that was the question asked and a good question that was asked.

Yes, sir, Mr. Horn.

- MR. HORN: Maybe you answered this. But who would make this decision as to the best way to manage it?
- MS. McCURDY: This Board would. The way we would manage the tract would be -- this would be an item that would be associated with the management plan. You actually have some at this meeting you'll have to approve. But we would have to make -- wouldn't have to make that decision right now. But that would be something I'd have to come back to the Board for consensus on as to all your options as well as which option you would want to pursue.

```
MR. HORN: Thank you.
 1
       CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any other discussion?
                     (No response.)
 3
       CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So the motion is for a
              first Prairie Grove Glades Tract. All
 5
 6
              those in favor say "aye."
                     (All board members except
 7
                      Mr. Runyan respond "aye.")
 8
9
        CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
       MS. McCURDY: Excuse me, Commissioner. If we
10
11
              could, I do try to watch for -- and
              y'all vote however you want. But when I
12
13
              don't see everybody say "aye" even
              though you may mean "aye," I kind of
14
              have to ask for a roll call, or if you
15
16
              want to try again. I just need
              everybody -- "aye" or "nay."
17
        CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor say
18
              "aye."
19
                     (All board members except
20
                      Mr. Runyan respond "aye.")
21
        CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?
2.2
23
       MR. RUNYAN:
                     Aye.
```

```
MS. McCURDY: So let's just double-check if
1
             you don't mind. And that's good. This
             is what I want from y'all, so speak up.
3
             But let's do a roll call so -- I don't
             want the auditors having any question
5
6
             that we keep up with this. But this
             allows me to keep letting y'all do "yea"
             and "nay" votes without a roll call on
8
9
             every one and being vigilant when we're
             not sure what we've got. So thank
10
11
             y'all.
       CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So Mr. Horn?
       MR. HORN:
                  Aye.
```

- 12
- 13
- 14 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Sims?
- DR. SIMS: Aye. 15
- 16 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Tolley-Jordan?
- 17 DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Aye.
- CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Valentine? 18
- 19 DR. VALENTINE: Aye.
- CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Watson? 20
- DR. WATSON: Aye. 21
- CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Woods? 2.2
- 23 DR. WOODS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Wright? 1 MR. WRIGHT: Aye. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Hall? 3 MR. HALL: Ave. 5 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Runyan? 6 MR. RUNYAN: Nay. MS. McCURDY: Commissioner, I will need your vote. 8 9 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yeah, I figured that. MS. McCURDY: In addition to getting to say it 10 11 fast every time, you know --CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: And I vote "aye" on the 12 13 first appraisal. MS. McCURDY: Well, that is the nine 14 required -- for benefit of the public, 15 16 for our board it's not a quorum of who's in attendance. It requires nine votes 17 to make motions related to acquiring 18 interest in lands. And so that's why I 19 had to double-check that. So thanks to 20 the Board for your patience again. 21 helps me with the auditors. 2.2

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any other ...

DR. WATSON: Mr. Commissioner? 1 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir, Dr. Watson. DR. WATSON: I move for a first appraisal on 3 the Red Hills-Flat Creek Phase III 5 parcel. 6 DR. TOLLEY-JORDAN: Second. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. Motion made by Dr. Watson, seconded by 8 9 Dr. Tolley-Jordan for a first appraisal on the Red Hills-Flat Creek Phase III 10 11 Addition. 12 Any discussion on that? 13 MR. HORN: Is that a first or second 14 appraisal? CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: That's a first. 15 16 MR. HORN: First. Okay. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: And that would put 17 that -- that would have that one 18 appraised and it would -- if we were 19 able to get the grant funds before the 20 next meeting, that would allow it to be 21 in position to be moved for a second 2.2 23 appraisal at the next meeting if the

| 1 | appraisal was done before the next |
|----|--|
| 2 | meeting. |
| 3 | MR. HORN: Didn't we have some discussion on |
| 4 | if we were going to nominate that |
| 5 | including the Baucom Addition? |
| 6 | MS. McCURDY: It is available. It's also on |
| 7 | the short list. And so I would make a |
| 8 | separate |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Might make a separate |
| 10 | motion for it. |
| 11 | MS. McCURDY: I think so. That would be good. |
| 12 | MR. HORN: Okay. |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So the motion is for a |
| 14 | first appraisal on the Red Hills-Flat |
| 15 | Creek Phase III Addition. All those in |
| 16 | favor say "aye." |
| 17 | (All board members present respond |
| 18 | "aye.") |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed? |
| 20 | (No response.) |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: None opposed. Motion |
| 22 | carries. |
| 23 | DR. WATSON: Mr. Commissioner? |

| 1 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir, Dr. Watson. |
|----|---|
| 2 | DR. WATSON: I move for a first appraisal on |
| 3 | the Red Hills-Baucom Addition. |
| 4 | MR. HORN: I'll second that. |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Motion by Dr. Watson, |
| 6 | seconded by Mr. Horn for a first |
| 7 | appraisal on the Red Hills-Baucom |
| 8 | Addition. |
| 9 | Any discussion on that? |
| 10 | (No response.) |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor say |
| 12 | "aye." |
| 13 | (All board members present respond |
| 14 | "aye.") |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed? |
| 16 | (No response.) |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: None opposed. Motion |
| 18 | carries. |
| 19 | Any other business? |
| 20 | (No response.) |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seeing none, we'll move |
| 22 | into the miscellaneous reports, report |
| 23 | on proposed updates to appraised |

nomination list and short list. Doug,

Tab 5-B.

MR. DEATON: So the next item is found in

Tab 5-B. Tab 5-B relates to the process
adopted by the Board for staff to
automatically remove stale nominations
found either on the short list or
appraised nomination list, stale meaning

any short-list nomination or appraised nomination that has not received any Board action as defined by the process

set by the Board.

And as noted in the memo, there are four tracts set to be removed at this meeting, and I think we actually discussed two of them. So the remaining tracts, it would be up to the Board to take those off or keep those on, and that would be the Thigpen Hill and the Skyline WMA-Bishop Cove Tract.

We wouldn't need a motion but just a --

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I would ask, if it

pleases the Board and if there's no objection, that we leave the Thigpen Hill on for discussion at the next meeting. We haven't had much available money, and so we have not moved on that in several meetings. But I would ask that we keep it over to the next fiscal year unless I hear any objection from the Board.

MR. HORN: I would like to see that kept on the list also for consideration at a future meeting.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. Thank you.

MR. DEATON: All right. The next item we have

is found in Tab 5-C, management plan

approval.

As required by Amendment 543,
management plans must be developed
within one year after the acquisition of
any tract. Some tracts require new
management plans whereas those
acquisitions adjacent or nearby existing
properties can be included into existing

/

2.2

management plans. Today we have three tracts that need approval to be added to existing management plans.

The first tract is the Bon Secour
River-Swift School Tract in Baldwin
County. We are seeking approval for
that to be folded into the existing
Weeks Bay Reserve Forever Wild Additions
Management Plan.

The next tract is the Coldwater

Mountain-Rice Addition, Calhoun County.

We're asking for approval to have that

folded into the existing Coldwater

Mountain Complex Management Plan.

And the final tract is the

Mobile-Tensaw Delta-Slaughter Swap in

Baldwin County. We are seeking approval
to have that folded into the

Mobile-Tensaw Delta Complex Management

Plan.

Each management plan that was mentioned was provided in your packet for review. If there are no questions

| 1 | regarding these management plans, I |
|----|---|
| 2 | would need a motion from the Board for |
| 3 | approval. |
| 4 | MR. HORN: So move. |
| 5 | MR. RUNYAN: Second. |
| 6 | MR. DEATON: And the language is provided in |
| 7 | the packet there for you. |
| 8 | MR. HORN: I move that the Board approve the |
| 9 | State Lands Division proceeding with the |
| 10 | inclusion of the tracts into existing |
| 11 | management plans as set forth in the |
| 12 | memorandum dated August 8th, 2019, |
| 13 | attached to this memorandum. |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Motion by Mr. Horn, |
| 15 | seconded by Mr. Runyan. All those in |
| 16 | favor say "aye." |
| 17 | (All board members present respond |
| 18 | "aye.") |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed? |
| 20 | (No response.) |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: None opposed. Motion |
| 22 | carries. |
| 23 | Next up is Patti on 5-D. annual |

staff activity reports.

MS. McCURDY: Tab 5-D. This time of year this meeting has annually become the meeting at which we present some information about what my staff has been up to over the last year to give you an idea of all the work that goes into these tracts.

Let me also say it's not just my staff. Wildlife and Freshwater
Fisheries staff helps us. Obviously
State Parks helps us. Marine Resources even helps us. There's various needs on the coast for boats and assistance in checking out things on tracts. And all the divisions help us. So I don't mean to present this like State Lands staff are the only ones working on these tracts.

But we divide the reports up each year into various work areas. Again, it's all the State Lands staff, but there are different tasks they each perform. We have our natural heritage

section which in addition to what you see Jo doing in preparing — with packets and all the information, the maps, everything to get to you, but the processing of nominations, confirming before we do any work that we have the willing seller letters in hand, continuing discussion with landowners on questions, site visits, just various things.

We also have individuals in what we call our recreation area. Those are the guys that are out on the tracts frankly doing a lot of the intensive labor, anything that we can do on our own to try to cut down costs, whether that's repairing a foot bridge or overseeing putting in a trailhead. We have built roads before. I mean, we are -- we're out however we can get on the tract.

We have law enforcement personnel.

They're doing more than just law

enforcement. Obviously when they're out

4

5

3

7

8

6

9

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

on the tracts they are checking to be sure that rules and regulations are being followed, that there are not safety concerns, helping the public informationally with what goes on on the tract, but they're also helping us very much to be the eyes and the ears on the tract. If there are forestry actions that might need to be taken, if we have a biological concern developing on a tract or just checking to be sure that some of the research projects or the various habitat projects that we've got going on are taking shape as they should.

We have Galen Grider who works from a forestry management coordinating — taking input from the guys and actually trying to coordinate that, add his forester training onto that, and being sure that forest management activities are going well.

You'll also see in there a couple of

2.2

memos that are specific to two
facilities that operate as a partnership
between the Forever Wild Land Trust and
the State Lands Division, some of our
own funds that go in to support these
partnerships, and those are the Wehle
Nature Center, Bullock and Barbour
County, and the M. Barnett Lawley
Forever Wild Field Trial Area over in
Greensboro.

The Wehle Nature Center in addition to providing some areas for research also houses researchers that come. We have a dining hall and a small dorm facility that we can house researchers there. The nature facility allows for ongoing environmental education. We have some great programs for young students that — we have programs that they come to — we get some students on that property that have never had the benefit of seeing a property like that, really even being out in the — what

they consider, I guess, the wild.

You know, we had one group out one year and the biggest feedback we got from the fourth-graders, they were so excited because it rained and they were outside and they got to get rained on. I didn't realize that was unusual. And I joke and I laugh, but it's a little sad. I mean, it's really performing a great service, I think, in the Barbour and Bullock County areas with the student population that we're able to meet.

The Forever Wild Field Trial Area is really unique. Used to be the State Cattle Ranch. We now fully between State Lands and Forever Wild have control of acreage. We continue with dog field trials in addition to very — a lot of different hunting programs, the "Becoming an Outdoor Woman," youth hunts, some disabled hunts. We do a lot of specific hunts with that property in

_

addition to carrying out some habitat research there. Anyway, a great property.

And the dogs that we bring for the various trials and competitions usually are in the thousands. So they all have to come with some humans. So we're driving a lot of traffic to that area. The surrounding communities are very thankful. Those folks are buying gas, eating, need hotel rooms, pooper scoopers, I would imagine, in addition to some dog food.

But we're really proud of what's going on at both of those facilities.

I do want to say, though, although it's broken up again, we try to find a way to report it so you see the different aspects of activities and of boundary lines and just too many things for me to mention. And I know I've left somebody and something out.

But it does highlight the fact that

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

when we acquire a tract that by law we are supposed to try to make it a multiple-use opportunity. So, for example, if we've got a tract that even though there's a sensitive habitat on it, if it has other attributes that allows us to have hiking or biking or hunting or anything else, our natural heritage section works closely with our recreation group in trying to just work around the sensitive areas. We still want the public on those tracts. So how do we do that, how do we balance that when we don't have enough acreage to have a WMA, or maybe it's not suited for an SOA. But like at Old Cahawba we do have community hunting. My staff oversees that.

So I don't want to take too much time today because I did promise you I'd try to deliver you a quick meeting. But I would take just a minute to ask for members of my staff, if you would just

stand up for a second so folks can see
you. A lot of work goes into these
meetings.

I want to thank y'all, and I want to thank the Board. Without your support we couldn't do our job.

And also, Commissioner, thank you for your support. Without the support by the Chairman and also the Commissioner of our department, none of this would happen. So thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I do want to point out one -- before you move on to the forestation activities, I do want to point out one cooperate thing that happened that I thought was pretty impressive over the last quarter.

You know, we work well together within the Department among the divisions, but we also work with other state agencies. And in talking -- in conversations I had with the Alabama Law

Enforcement Agency director, they were doing some training — needed to do some training with their helicopter, some lift training and heavy—equipment moving or practicing removing people from certain areas. We had tons of material that needed to be moved from the top of the Walls of Jericho parking lot down to where we were putting a new bridge in.

The staff thought that it would be a good idea if they could help us. And so they flew tons of material down the mountain and placed it in a field right next to where we were doing the bridge in a day in what would have taken us a long time to try — if you've ever been to the Walls of Jericho, you know that it is challenging terrain for man, horse, buggy, anything, but not so challenging for a helicopter.

So we were able to actually help them with their training that they needed to do and certifications, and it

2.2

helped move the material down for us.

And so it was a very good partnership
among state agencies to try and
accomplish a lot of good things for the
citizens of Alabama.

So I do want to point out how appreciative I was for ALEA and our staff for really putting those two things together to make it a success. Thank you.

MS. McCURDY: All right. I then need you to pull out two different memos, your packet 5-E and then your green folder 5-G. I apologize for this. I didn't realize that I probably didn't give the best suggested motion possible in your packet memo, and so I followed up with a green folder memo.

But what both 5-E and 5-G relate
to -- this is also the time of the year
that we talk to the Board about
upcoming -- anticipated upcoming forest
management activities. Each year we

look at the upcoming fiscal year and try to predict the activities that we think will be new activities the Board might not -- we have not discussed with the Board before that we would like to undertake, you know, what have we not covered that we would like to add to our working list.

So 5-E contains a specific outline of what we anticipate additionally we would like to do as to reforestation at the Freedom Hills/Lauderdale WMA Tracts. We do have some upcoming thinning activities that we anticipate that we would like to do at the Old Cahawba Tract and also up at Red Hills Tract. We have some additional work -- harvesting work at the Gothard-AWF Yates Lake WMA Tract, Elmore County, and Uchee Creek SOA Tract in Russell County. You'll see those described in your 5-E packet memo.

What I failed to account for was to

2.2

and asked for approvals such as these.

They don't always neatly fit within

completion of a fiscal year for various

reasons. You know, one tract, for

example, we've got some access issues

they're working on. Another tract we

put out for bids. We got no bids. The

market conditions. Various reasons.

specifically note that, you know, we

have previously come before the Board

So when I gave you the motion in 5-E, I forgot to -- and I'm not positive this matters, but I like to avoid confusion where I can on the front end.

5-G has a motion that reflects -- in addition to our general request that the motions be somewhat flexible in general because we are anticipating a year in advance -- we will get back on the tracts. We will do the site visits. We will look at specific descriptions for each of the actions that we're talking about. But some things may change. So

in addition to annually asking for a more general motion, I went with a little additional language in what I provided in 5-G to note that.

We also -- the previously approved activities that have not been completed -- we're presuming that the Board's approval will continue, but I just thought maybe I myself could clean up my own motions. So, see, even I have to clean up my motions.

So all the details are in 5-E.

There's an attachment to 5-G that's just a very quick bullet point of what you previously approved. But if the Board is willing to give us approval for the recommended forest management activities, then we would need a Board motion such as the one provided in Tab 5-G.

Any questions before we move to that point?

(No response.)

2.2

| 1 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Is there a motion |
|----|---|
| 2 | somewhat similar to what is in 5-G? |
| 3 | Yes, Dr. Sims. |
| 4 | DR. SIMS: During the upcoming 2019-2020 |
| 5 | fiscal year, in addition to the |
| 6 | previously approved forest management |
| 7 | actions, I move that the Board also |
| 8 | authorize the State Lands Division to |
| 9 | conduct forest management activities as |
| 10 | described in the memorandum dated |
| 11 | August 8, 2019. |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: There's a motion. Is |
| 13 | there a second? |
| 14 | MR. HORN: Second. |
| 15 | MR. RUNYAN: Second. |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by Mr. Runyan. |
| 17 | Any discussion on that? |
| 18 | (No response.) |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor say |
| 20 | "aye." |
| 21 | (All board members present respond |
| 22 | "aye.") |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed? |

(No response.) 1 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: None opposed. Motion carries. 3 MR. HORN: I understand why you said something similar to what is written because in 5 6 case I made the motion, I always screw it up. You were just saying if somebody gets close; right? 8 9 MS. McCURDY: We're just looking for in the ballpark. 10 11 All right. While I'm on a roll cleaning up things, if you would look at 12 13 your Tab 5-F. This was our fault from the last meeting. I gave Commissioner a 14 little cheat sheet to be sure we got 15 16 everything done we needed to be done, and I had referenced the incorrect date 17 for the meeting minutes that you 18 19 approved at the past meeting. So, Commissioner, you normally 20 handle the meeting minute approval. 21

I just wanted to signal we needed to

maybe back up and clarify the prior

2.2

23

approval in addition to doing what you 1 normally do next, which is seek approval for the May minutes. So we need to --3 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. evidently we approved the November 8th 5 meeting minutes twice at two different 6 meetings. MS. McCURDY: No. We just used the wrong 8 date. We provided the right minutes last time, but we needed -- we had the 10 11 wrong -- you had the right minutes, the wrong motion. 12 13 So if you would just -- unless there's any question, you would be 14 approving the February 7th meeting 15 16 minutes in one motion and then picking back up like you normally would. 17 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So we provided the 18 19 February 7th minutes at the last briefing. Is there a motion to approve 20 the February 7th minutes? 21 MR. HORN: So move. 2.2 23 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Horn. Is there a

| 1 | second? |
|----|---|
| 2 | DR. VALENTINE: Second. |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Valentine seconded. |
| 4 | Is there any confusion on that, any |
| 5 | questions? |
| 6 | MS. McCURDY: You read the right minutes. I |
| 7 | gave Commissioner the wrong date. |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: You read the right |
| 9 | minutes. I made the wrong motion. So |
| 10 | this is just cleaning up that motion |
| 11 | from the last meeting. |
| 12 | MR. HORN: Do we need to approve those as |
| 13 | amended or |
| 14 | MS. McCURDY: They weren't amended. It's just |
| 15 | that literally you read the right thing, |
| 16 | you knew what you were approving, but |
| 17 | our motion none of us caught that I |
| 18 | had given Commissioner the wrong date, |
| 19 | so |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So all those in favor |
| 21 | of approving the February 7th meeting |
| 22 | minutes, say "aye." |
| 23 | (All board members present respond |

| 1 | "aye.") |
|----|---|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed? |
| 3 | (No response.) |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: None opposed. |
| 5 | Now we need to approve the May 9th |
| 6 | minutes. |
| 7 | MS. McCURDY: This would have the minutes that |
| 8 | came out with your packet, and we're |
| 9 | back on routine procedure now. |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Are there any |
| 11 | corrections or additions from the |
| 12 | May 9th meeting minutes? |
| 13 | MR. HORN: I move that we approve the May 9th |
| 14 | meeting minutes as presented. |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Moved by Mr. Horn. Is |
| 16 | there a second? |
| 17 | MR. VALENTINE: I'll do it. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Valentine seconded |
| 19 | on the May 9th meeting. |
| 20 | Any discussion, corrections? |
| 21 | (No response.) |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor say |
| 23 | "aye." |

1 (All board members present respond 2 "aye.")

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any opposed?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: None opposed. Motion carries.

So the next meeting is set for November the 7th. That is our next scheduled meeting. I would like to have that meeting at the new Gulf State Park Lodge and Conference Center if we can work out something down there to have a meeting. I think it would be nice for the Board to be able to see the new lodge and conference center and all the other improvements that have been at Gulf State Park, including the 28 miles of trail, the Interpretive Center, the new learning campus, and then other improvements. So we'll provide more information on that if we can get that worked out down there for that date.

As we get towards the end of the

23

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

meeting, I believe this is Dr. Woods' last meeting. It is noted for me that he has had perfect attendance for as long as he has been on the Board.

Like my kids always told me, though, if you have perfect attendance that means you didn't have much fun, and they always wanted to skip a day.

So I do appreciate your service to the Board. It's been great having you on the Board the time that I've been here and appreciate your dedication to make it to every meeting. It really does take a certain amount of dedication for meetings all over the state over many years. So thank you so much for your service.

DR. WOODS: I thoroughly enjoyed it.

MS. McCURDY: And, Commissioner, I just want to thank Dr. Woods too. You've always been very helpful to our staff when we've needed assistance. And so thank you very much.

| 1 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: If there's no other |
|----|---|
| 2 | business |
| 3 | MR. HORN: One other thing. One of our former |
| 4 | board members, Sonny Cauthen I think |
| 5 | Sonny has been off the Board for a |
| 6 | couple of meetings now. But, anyway, |
| 7 | Sonny is in the hospital and has been |
| 8 | for two weeks now. So I understand from |
| 9 | talking to his wife, Florence, he is |
| 10 | doing better, but still a two-week stay |
| 11 | in the hospital is pretty unusual. So I |
| 12 | would ask you all to keep Sonny and his |
| 13 | family in your thoughts and prayers. |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you for |
| 15 | mentioning that. |
| 16 | Anything else? |
| 17 | (No response.) |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Anybody want to move |
| 19 | that we adjourn? |
| 20 | MR. HORN: So move. |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All in favor say "aye". |
| 22 | (All board members present respond |
| 23 | "aye.") |

| | | 88 |
|----|--|----|
| 1 | CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Meeting adjourned. | |
| 2 | Thank you all. | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | (Meeting adjourned at | |
| 8 | approximately 11:43 a.m.) | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| | | |

Г

| 1 | * * * * * * * * |
|----|---|
| 2 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE |
| 3 | * * * * * * * * |
| 4 | STATE OF ALABAMA: |
| 5 | MONTGOMERY COUNTY: |
| 6 | I, Tracye Sadler Blackwell, Certified |
| 7 | Court Reporter and Commissioner for the State of |
| 8 | Alabama at Large, do hereby certify that I reported |
| 9 | the foregoing proceedings of the Forever Wild Board |
| 10 | Meeting on August 8, 2019. |
| 11 | The foregoing 88 computer-printed pages |
| 12 | contain a true and correct transcript of the |
| 13 | proceedings held. |
| 14 | I further certify that I am neither of |
| 15 | kin nor of counsel to the parties to said cause nor |
| 16 | in any manner interested in the results thereof. |
| 17 | This 1st day of October 2019. |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | Tracye Sadler Blackwell |
| 21 | ACCR No. 294 Expiration date: 9-30-2020 |
| 22 | Certified Court Reporter and Commissioner for the State |
| 23 | of Alabama at Large |