1	MINUTES OF THE
2	FOREVER WILD BOARD MEETING
3	Oxford Civic Center
4	401 McCullars Lane
5	Oxford, Alabama
6	August 4, 2022
7	10:00 a.m.
8	
9	* * * * * * * * *
10	Transcript of Proceedings
11	* * * * * * * * *
12	
13	PROCEEDINGS, taken before Stacey
14	L. Johnson, Certified Court Reporter and
15	Commissioner for the State of Alabama at
16	the Oxford Civic Center, 401 McCullars
17	Lane, Oxford, Alabama, on the 4th day of
18	August, 2022, commencing at 10:00 a.m.
19	* * * * * * * *
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
2	Commissioner Chris Blankenship, Chairman Mr. James "Mickey" Childers
3	Mr. Reginald N. Holloway Dr. Sean P. Powers
4	Mr. David Wright Mr. Rick Oates
5	Dr. James B. McClintock Mr. Jack Darnall
6	Dr. Salem Saloom Mr. William Satterfield
7	Mr. Raymond B. Jones, Jr.
8	* * * * * * * * *
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Good morning. 1 2 Let me call our August Forever Wild Board 3 meeting to order. I appreciate everybody here and the Board members that were able 4 to make it today. 5 6 As I call your name, let me make sure we have a quorum. 8 Chris Blankenship, here. Mickey Childers? 9 MR. CHILDERS: Here. 10 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Jack Darnall? 11 MR. DARNALL: Here. 12 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Reginald 13 Holloway? 14 MR. HOLLOWAY: Here. 1.5 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Raymond Jones? 16 MR. JONES: Here. 17 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. McClintock? 18 DR. MCCLINTOCK: Here. 19 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Rick Oates? 20 MR. OATES: 2.1 Here. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Saloom? 22 DR. SALOOM: 23 Here.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: 1 Mr. Satterfield? 2 MR. SATTERFIELD: Here. 3 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Simms? 4 Dr. Neumann? 5 6 Dr. Powers? DR. POWERS: Here. 8 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Wright? MR. WRIGHT: Here. 9 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Howell? 10 Dr. Valentine? 11 We do have a quorum. Thank y'all 12 for taking time out to be here today. 13 I do appreciate the use of this 14 facility here at the City of Oxford, the 1.5 Oxford Civic Center. Mayor Alton Craft 16 has been a good supporter of Cheaha State 17 Park and the Department of Conservation. 18 We've had an opportunity to come up and 19 talk with him about several outdoor 20 21 recreation projects in the area, and so we -- because of their interest in this 22

part of the world and the outdoors and

23

what we do at the Forever Wild Board, I was very pleased that we were able to have the meeting here in Oxford. Coldwater Mountain is one of our premiere properties and very near here, so while you're up here if you have a chance to go to either of the two parking areas at Coldwater Mountain, take a look at the bike trails and the map and see how important that property is for the economy and athletic and outdoor participation from this part of the state. While you're up here, take advantage of that. We did that yesterday It was a very, very beautiful afternoon. spot and very well used.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I do have one thing I would like to -- before we get started with the speakers, our staff pulled together some statistics for articles that are being written, and I just thought the Board and the audience might be interested in some of these statistics about the Forever Wild program. You probably already know these

things. I may be giving you statistics that may bore you or that you already know, but as we -- when you aggregate the work of the Board over many years and the work of our staff in access to those properties, I think it's pretty impressive when you put that all together. So I just want to read them.

I won't bore you with all of these statistics, but, you know, we have purchased 203 tracts across 34 counties, 203 different tracts, about 285,000 acres total. We have over 390 miles of multi-use trails, 173 miles of canoe trails, 77 miles of hiking trails, 47 mountain bike trails, 39 miles of horseback trails, and 54 miles of multi-use trails. So that's, you know, really getting people out on these properties.

This is a stat that I thought was very impressive. 92 percent of Alabama's population lives within 25 miles of one of

our tracts, 92 percent of our state
population. 99.8 percent of our
population lives within 50 miles of one of
our Forever Wild tracts. So there's
two-tenths of 1 percent of our population
that live in the middle of nowhere that is
not close to one of our tracts, but I
thought that was -- of all the things,
that was probably one of the most
impressive to me is that people -- almost
our entire population lives within
50 miles of one of the properties.

1.5

Over the course of most recent calculations, we've leveraged 128 million dollars in additional funding to go with Forever Wild funding to acquire property around the state, and that's — all that together, there are 48,000 Forever Wild acres and 38,000 other acres, so you're looking at over 80,000 acres that we've purchased using leveraged funds above and beyond the money in the acquisition fund for Forever Wild but through partnerships

with many people, including our Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries Division, our State Parks Division, the Forestry Commission, our State Lands Division, and others.

1.5

And there are 52 parking lots. We talked about the Stewardship Fund at the last meeting and maintaining facilities and adding additional access. So 52 parking lots that our staff has either constructed or maintained on the properties, and I just — as we were pulling that together, I thought I would just share that with the Board. I thought y'all might like those — like to know the impact of the program when you aggregate it over the 20-plus years that the program's been in place.

MR. OATES: Commissioner?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir?

MR. OATES: I couldn't write those down fast enough. Would you be willing to send those out to us? It would be nice to

have those figures in our heads sometimes.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Sure. Be glad

to send those out to the Board.

1.5

2.1

I think that's all the opening comments. We'll move now into the public comment period. We do have quite a few speakers this morning so there will be a three-minute time limit, and the timekeeper is up there. If you'll just please limit your comments to those three minutes so we can make sure everybody has the same amount of time and we can move through all of our speakers this morning pretty efficiently.

Our first few speakers will be on a property called Dugdown Mountain. That is Tab 5a. And I think you've been given a map this morning from the Alabama Forestry Commission. This is a potential Forest Legacy Project moving forward.

MS. MCCURDY: And just to let the Board know that the maps -- they're the same -- I mean they're the same boarder,

represent the same acreage, just the

Forestry Commission provided the loose map

that you see. I think we wrote on there

AFC. So there's no difference. There's

just a little more detail in the AFC map.

1.5

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: And so the first speaker will be Will Brantley with the Alabama Forestry Commission, formerly of the Department of Conservation and Natural Resources.

MR. BRANTLEY: Thank you,

Commissioner. Thank you, Commissioner, I

appreciate that, and I appreciate the

opportunity to speak to the Board today.

My name is Will Brantley. I'm the Assistant State Forester in Alabama. We're here today to really -- there's going to be two other speakers that follow -- well, three other speakers that follow me, but we want to talk about a new potential opportunity, this Dugdown Mountain Corridor in Cleburne County. It's a really unique kind of opportunity.

It would be a partnership with the State of Georgia. The next speaker is going to really get in more detail about some of the details of that tract. I mainly wanted to just -- Commissioner, I really appreciate those statistics you mentioned because we were compiling our own set of statistics as it related to the State's Forest Legacy contribution and partnership with the Forever Wild Land Trust.

1.5

Over the last 20 years, there's been ten Forest Legacy Projects in the state, and each one of those has been matched by and partnered with Forever Wild Land Trust, so there's a rich history of involvement between this agency and our agency and the U.S. Forest Service. And there's another great opportunity coming down the road as well, and we want to get that on your radar screen.

As you may recall, the most recent purchase we did that y'all were all involved in, most of the members of this

group, was the Little River Addition down in Monroe and Escambia County, and that has been in addition to one of our state forests. It's increased opportunities for public hunting, and it's been a really good addition to the State's public land holdings.

1.5

2.1

But mainly, I just wanted to remind everybody we've got a great history and tradition of these two programs working together and we're looking forward to continuing that in the future.

And, Commissioner, I'm happy to yield my time to the speakers that follow.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, Will.

MR. BRANTLEY: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: The next speaker will be Darci DeBrunner with the Forestry Commission, Alabama Forestry Commission.

MS. DEBRUNNER: I'm Darci DeBrunner.

I'm the Forest Legacy coordinator with the

Alabama Forestry Commission, and this is a project that was brought to my attention about last year from Georgia wanting to do a partnership with Alabama on this piece of property. On the Georgia side, they've got about 8,000 or so acres connected to this piece of property, and with this about 2,000-acre piece in the Dugdown Mountain Corridor, we would like it to become, if acquired, a new state forest but also become part of Alabama's public hunting area, and get something in that area, in addition to the Indian Springs Mountain that's sort of nearby.

This project -- I mean, together for this between our project and Georgia, there will be over 10,000 acres going forward for the fiscal year '24 funding from the Forest Legacy if it gets done, and if this is successful, we plan to hopefully continue acquiring more acres of this property that are connected to what we're trying to acquire for fiscal year

'24. And if it succeeds and we keep acquiring more, we could even do a full connection of public land to the Talladega National Forest, so that would give us a nice corridor of public land connected from Alabama and Georgia throughout this corridor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

On the Georgia side, it would But it's got a lot of good become a WMA. rivers on it. It's got longleaf. The goal is to eventually have it be restored to longleaf where applicable. On the detailed map, you can see the Conservation Fund has already started harvesting loblolly and plan to go back and replant some areas of longleaf, which will help us along forward, and then if we acquire it, we will, of course, go forward with the conversion to longleaf pine. It's got river bottoms, nice streams on it. got a little frog pond up there that's really a unique kind of ecosystem on the north side of the property, and it's got

good public access as well.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

And that's all I have.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right.
Thank you.

The next speaker is Ms. Susan

Granberry from the U.S. Forest Service in

Atlanta.

MS. GRANBERRY: Thank you so much for having me today.

The Forest Legacy Program uses fee simple land purchases in Alabama to protect important forest areas from fragmentation and development and to protect these areas for the public benefits that they provide. implemented as a grant program through the Alabama Forestry Commission, one of our great State partners. Projects are selected through a very competitive national process. This process of applying for and receiving Forest Legacy funding, it can take several years. Wе have to conduct the due diligence,

appraisal review, title review, go through all of those steps, and it requires really a high degree of commitment we realize from our state and our nonprofit and state boards and cost share partners like Forever Wild.

We're very grateful for Forever
Wild's support to Forest Legacy programs
and for the important partnership that you
provide to the Forest Legacy program to
help states acquire key lands like here in
Alabama to restore native longleaf pine,
to protect threatened and endangered
species, to help protect riverbeds and
corridors, protect wildlife values, and
all of those really critical habitat and
important areas.

So the secret is out. We know the Forest Legacy community, that Alabama's natural habitat is critical globally, nationally, and we're looking forward to partnering with you on projects.

Thanks.

1.5

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you.

1.5

2.1

Next speaker on this same property is Mr. Andrew Schock with the Conservation Fund.

MR. SCHOCK: Good morning. I'm Andrew Schock with the Conservation Fund, and I'm going to add on, Commissioner, to what you said about this facility.

Not too long ago, I was over at the Performing Arts Center watching

Boz Scaggs -- some of you may remember him -- an excellent, excellent facility, so I would encourage anybody that has the time to go see a concert or some sort of show there to go to enjoy the rest of Oxford.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: And that was a very nice place. And there's a fine restaurant right next door.

MR. SCHOCK: And that's where we ate dinner.

But I'm here actually to talk about the Dugdown Mountain Corridor. The

Conservation Fund is the landowner. We own both the -- the almost 2,000 acres here in Alabama as well as the balance of the property in Georgia. We are a willing landowner, willing seller, and we are coordinating, of course, with the State of Alabama but also with the State of Georgia to put together a joint application for the Forest Legacy Program. I have not yet -- and this is on me -- have not yet submitted a nomination to this body, but that will be forthcoming so you will see the rest of the official paperwork for the Forever Wild program.

So thank you.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thanks, Andrew.

The next speaker will be
Representative Tracy Estes on the Natural
Bridge project. That's Tab 4b page 15,
Tab 4b page 15.

MS. MCCURDY: Commissioner, I'll also add there are a couple of memos, one is in your packet and one in the green folder.

I believe that's 5h and 5i.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

REPRESENTATIVE ESTES: First, let me thank the Board for their time this morning. I know you have many others to hear from, so I'll keep it under the three minutes.

You should have information in I have spoken to the Board on numerous occasions in the past about this particular property. We have put together They have each a team back home. committed to assist with this process. Ιf you'll look, you should have copies of a letter from the Winston County Sheriff and his pledge to increase patrol in the area. We have a letter from the current owner, the private family that owns the property, acknowledging that they understand that your organization would have no interest in retaining the structures on that property, so they understand that that would be a part of the process. And also the Winston County Commission whose

Chairman, Roger Hayes, is with us today, they have made multiple commitments. They have committed to come in and destroy the existing structures, remove all resulting debris from the property, and come back and either sod or seed and put hay over the previous building sites to return that to as natural an area as they can as quickly as they can.

The Commissioner and I were talking just moments ago about things they're working on as well as far as maintaining the gate that would be open. Some of that you can do by app, and we could get that — they're going to take care of all of the signage that would make sure people know you've got to be out by — you know, the park closes at — basically, you must exit by five or 4:30. Although, we know we close at five. That way, everybody knows they've got plenty of time to get out.

My main concern from the beginning

in speaking with you a couple of times,
Board members, is my personal interest and
interest as a lawmaker on behalf of the
people of Alabama is getting this in the
public hands as opposed to a private
owner. You might have a private owner
come in and do tremendous things. You had
a family that's owned this 150 acres for a
long time and have been very, very
committed to it, but it is a natural
wonder.

As we've noted before, it's the largest natural bridge east of the Rocky Mountains, and it just seems that it would be a tragedy for us to lose that should it fall in the hands of a private owner who had no interest in sharing it with anyone but him or herself. So the point I would like to make is the uncertainty of what would happen if it fell into private hands, retaining public access, and preserving the property for future generations. To me, that's the key

is preserving this property for future generations.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

You were mentioning earlier, the Chairman was, about how many hundreds of miles of trail and whatnot we've got. There is unlimited potential on this land of trails that can be put in, bike trails, foot walking trails. Some are already in existence, you know, where they've already been kind of beaten down, I quess, by just the natural walking process of the number of visitors that go out to the site. that would be the thing that I will ask you to keep in mind as we move forward. And it's my goal as a private resident who doesn't live probably 25, 30 miles away and as a state lawmaker would just be to preserve this for future generations.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir.

Thank you for being here today. I know
you've got plenty going on with your
district. I appreciate you making the

trip over.

1.5

The next speaker is the Winston County Commission Commissioner Roger Hayes on the same property.

MR. HAYES: Thank you, Commissioner.

I thank the panel.

Tracy stole all my thunder, but I do want to reiterate that the Winston County Commission is working with the Town of Natural Bridge. We are going to tear down the buildings, as Tracy said. We hope that this project is going to be a lot of interest to y'all because we want to keep it.

It was established and opened in 1954, and the 150 acres that we have there, we think you can have various maybe bike trails, RV parks. It's got a lot of potential. You can have walking trails, you can have a climbing area too that would be of interest, I think, to the general public, and I hope it will be an interest to y'all.

One of the problems that we had -as late as yesterday, I talked to a contractor, and he says that opening and closing the park, don't think it's going to be a problem like we thought it was. Internet is there in the area. Power is at the site. With the timers on the gate and what we'll work to is how timers -and what we're really concerned with is if somebody got in there and they stayed longer than they should have getting out. It will have an emergency button where they can get out. What we're talking -and will be talking with Natural Bridge again -- is the shared cost of putting in the gate and the monthly power bill to keep this operating.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

And as we said before, the sheriff has committed to doing his part, the County Commission has committed to doing our part, and we feel like with the National Forest, the Bankhead Forest in our county, this is going to be a great

fit for us and it's going to be a plus for us.

So if you have any questions, you know, if I can answer them, I'll take it. Still got a minute 11 on it. But, again, thank you for seeing us today.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes. Thank you.

Any questions from the Board? Thank you, sir.

MR. HAYES: Thank you.

1.5

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Our next speaker is Keith Tassin with the Nature Conservancy on the Oak Mountain State Park Belcher tract Phase II, Tab 4b page 7.

MR. TASSIN: Thank you. Keith Tassin state director for the Nature Conservancy here today to speak in support of the Oak Mountain State Park-Belcher Addition Phase II. This is an addition to the Belcher tract that the State purchased at the end of last year.

What I'd like to see today is a

first appraisal to move forward on the purchase of this nomination. Anybody that's ever been to King's Chair, this tract pretty much speaks for itself. really the -- it's the prominent ridge that you see to the south when you're on King's Chair. King's Chair is probably the most visited place in Oak Mountain State Park, so there's a real danger if this tract is not secured that it will be developed for housing as you can see from some of the other ridges that you can see in the distance from there. So it's pretty critical, one of the last opportunities to expand Oak Mountain State Park.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So on this -so what we just recently acquired there on
at -- on the Belcher tract where it comes
down, and this is that ridge that you see
that goes right back up on the --

MR. TASSIN: Right. If you're looking down at Belcher Lake, it's the big ridge

that's right behind that there. 1 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: 2 DR. POWERS: Mr. Chairman? 3 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir? 4 So that's the -- there's DR. POWERS: 5 6 a railroad that runs through there. Is it easily accessible between the two? 8 MR. TASSIN: Yeah, there is. are crossings on the existing Belcher 9 tract onto that property. 10 MS. MCCURDY: And there's -- but 11 there's also road access. 12 There is also -- it also MR. TASSIN: 13 has part of the county road. 14 MS. MCCURDY: So you have county road 1.5 frontage, so it has its own road access on 16 that side. 17 DR. POWERS: Oh, in addition to being 18 able to cross over? 19 MS. MCCURDY: Correct. 20 2.1 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, sir. The next speaker is Mr. Warren 22 Boddie on the Freedom Hills-23

Boddie Addition Tab 3b page 6, 3b page 6.

If you'll give everybody a second to find that one. Mostly let me find it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. BODDIE: That's going to cut my three minutes?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: No, sir. Go ahead.

Where this came about is MR. BODDIE: I was going to sell my property. I came and asked y'all for an easement to get to my property about a quarter mile off the main road. The buyer backed out when I was here at the meeting at the -- I think it was May of '21. One of y'all asked if you could have first refusal, and I said yes. So I think in November, y'all voted to have an appraisal, and the guy came out there and appraised. He didn't have it in by February and I missed the May meeting, so, basically, I'm here to ask for a motion that you approve the second appraisal on this property to move forward.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. 1 Did that take under MR. BODDIE: 2 3 three minutes? CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: That was quick. 4 That was quick. 5 Any questions for Mr. Boddie? 6 Thank you, sir. 7 8 MR. BODDIE: Thank you. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: And our last 9 speaker that I have a card for is Charles 10 Yeager with the Turkey Creek Nature 11 Preserve. 12 While he's coming up, if there's 13 anybody that wanted to speak that didn't 14 have an opportunity to fill out a green 1.5 slip or to let me know as you came in that 16 you would like to speak, if you'll raise 17 your hand and we'll get somebody to get 18 you a slip. 19 Perfect. Everybody that wants to 20 21 speak, you filled one out. Go ahead, Charles. 22 Thank you, Commissioner. 23 MR. YEAGER:

I appreciate you guys giving me the time today. I'll try to keep it short.

1.5

As you guys know, I have the honor of managing the Turkey Creek Nature

Preserve. I actually work for the

Environmental Center at Birmingham

Southern College. We have a partnership with Forever Wild and with the State to maintain and care for that property.

It has been an incredibly busy year to say the least. At any given point in time in the summer months, we typically see a thousand people a day or more, and this summer's been even more so. It's pushed our capacity to its limits many times, which is a good thing. We're seeing a lot of use and a lot of families, and we're evaluating ways to increase our capacity or at least maintain our capacity in more reasonable ways, which includes kind of dealing with some parking issues that are a constant issue. We have a very narrow road with steep shoulders and the

parking is always a constant challenge that we're working through with the State. We're also working with the county, Jefferson County, to get new striping on the roads and to kind of evaluate some places where we can mark off parking problem areas.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

It's also been an incredibly busy year for our programming. We've had 28 environmental education programs this year that have seen over a thousand participants, school kids, 35 service days in 18 public programs and events. This has included the last two weeks we had Birmingham Children's Theater out for an adventure theater program that they did on our trails. We had venomous snake handling workshops. We had a controlled burn and Learn and Burn back in the spring. We also back in the spring hosted a 24-hour BioBlitz. And I want to praise the DCNR staff. They came out and they They led public hikes through helped.

this time. We had over 130 participants in this program — or these events, and we — there were 416 observations that were made through our naturalist that included about 253 species, which all will be included in the database, I'm sure, and will add to our ability to be able to steward and take care of the property. So I want to extend my gratitude to the DCNR staff for being willing to give up their weekend and their time to do this because it really kind of took it to the next level.

Also, I want to mention that

Jefferson County has granted us this year

a -- they gave us a grant for a UTV, which

is a vital piece of equipment for us. Our

old UTV went out, and we were very, very

grateful for their support.

And I want to thank you guys also.

I missed the last meeting, but I want to
thank you guys for moving forward with the
second appraisal on the Parker Addition.

Also, I have something on a personal note. So this year, I was very lucky to be drawn for -- after many years of putting it in, I was drawn for an SOA I was drawn for a turkey hunt at Thigpen this year, and I had the opportunity to visit that incredible property. And I want to brag a little bit about what a wonderful job that Doug Deaton and Chris Smith are doing to keep up that property. The communication was wonderful, the whole experience was incredible, and I really think that's going to be a showcase place for Alabama hunting.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Did you hear any turkeys while you were down there?

MR. YEAGER: Yeah.

MR. JONES: Did you kill one?

MR. YEAGER: Quite a few. Heard a lot of turkeys, and it was successful. I didn't stay as long as I had planned on.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank you.

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So that ends our list of speakers. 1 Now we'll recess for an executive session 2 to discuss tract appraisal values. 3 By regulation, the appraisal 4 values are confidential during periods of 5 6 negotiations. Accordingly, in order to discuss the tract appraisal values, the 7 8 Board will need to go into recess for an executive session. Is there a motion for 9 the Board to now recess to attend the 10 executive session? 11 MR. DARNALL: So moved. 12 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: 13 Moved by Mr. Darnall. 14 MR. CHILDERS: Second. 1.5 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I think it was 16 17 seconded by Mr. Holloway? MR. CHILDERS: Childers. 18 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Oh, 19 Mr. Childers. 20 Thank you. 2.1 So as I call your name, please

state your position on the motion to recess. Those in favor please indicate by

22

23

saying aye and those opposed by nay. 1 2 Chris Blankenship, aye. Mr. Childers? 3 MR. CHILDERS: Aye. 4 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Darnall? 5 6 MR. DARNALL: Aye. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Holloway? 8 MR. HOLLOWAY: Aye. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Jones? 9 MR. JONES: Aye. 10 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. McClintock? 11 DR. MCCLINTOCK: 12 Aye. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Oates? 13 MR. OATES: 14 Aye. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Saloom? 1.5 DR. SALOOM: 16 Aye. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: 17 Mr. Satterfield? 18 MR. SATTERFIELD: Aye. 19 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Powers? 20 21 DR. POWERS: Aye. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Wright? 22 23 MR. WRIGHT: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All present are in favor of dismissing to the executive session. It's now 10:32. We'll take approximately a 20-minute recess and return.

Thank you.

2.1

(Recess from 10:32 a.m. to 10:55 a.m.)

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: We're back on the Record. The meeting shall resume.

We are at number 5 on your agenda of the financial data, Tab 2a, b, and c.

And, Ms. McCurdy, take it away.

MS. MCCURDY: All right. Well, as usual, what I'm going to start with first is just an overview of the financial condition really. By that, I really mean the money you have available to spend.

I'm going to run through the tracts that you have previously motioned and instructed the staff to proceed with closing. I take those tracts and the cost including the 15 percent of appraised

value that we transfer to the Stewardship
Fund with each acquisition, I run those
numbers, and I subtract it from the
balance to give you an idea of your
unencumbered amount in view of any
additional motions that the Board might
want to make today or continue to hold
those funds for purchases in the future.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

So the tracts that are in various stages of closing include the D'Olive Bay Addition, Styx River Wetlands, Turkey Creek Nature Preserve-Parker Addition, Bon Secour River Wetlands Addition, Coldwater Mountain-McVey Addition, Perdido WMA-Snowden Branch Addition, and Red Hills-Flat Creek Phase IV. Those are the properties that are in some form of closing. I will complete my totals, and then let me give the Board one additional note. Taking all of those tracts into consideration, you are left with an unencumbered balance just short of 17.8 million dollars. And that is within

your statutory spending authority, so that is your unencumbered balance and amount available for any additional action.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

I did want to note to the Board one adjustment since the last meeting that we will -- we can discuss later in the general discussion portion of the meeting if the Board chooses to proceed, but we had a correction to an appraised value since the last meeting for the Red Hills-Flat Creek Phase IV, and so I will need additional Board action to continue with closing on that tract due to that amended value, but I will bring that up during general discussion. However, I have included that tract in the amount so you would know what you had available if you chose to proceed. So these -- just under 17.8 is what's available to the Board.

I will now give you -- run through the tracts that were previously motioned that have closed or have been declined in this fiscal year. Cedar Creek SOA

Addition was declined by the landowner,

Coldwater Mountain-Carroll Addition has

closed, Hollins WMA Addition 2020 was

declined, Oak Mountain State Park-Belcher

Lake Addition has closed, Red Hills-Parris

Trust Addition has closed, and

Thigpen Hill Option A Phase II has closed.

Those are the figures for this fiscal

year. Any questions on Tab 2a?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

All right. I'll move to Tab 2b. This is a similar overview of the funds that have been spent and are remaining in the Stewardship Fund that I mentioned earlier. I do need to update the Board. You will see that what's reflected on 2b shows just over 1.16 million. We have in the system that is in some form of processing about 500 -- close to 560,000, but it was not actually out the door when you figure the balance. So for a real picture, subtract another roughly \$560,000 that's in process. You will also see two values, one for the close of September 30

and the current net assets value, which is further detailed in Tab 2c of the Stewardship Fund, and that is just over 40.9 million. Any questions on the Stewardship Fund?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

All right. We'll move to Tab 3a. I will now go through the properties that the Board at a previous meeting has motioned for a first appraisal. I will run through the status of those as to what -- the ones we have received and ones that are still pending. The appraisals that we currently have in hand and would be available for further motion by the Board include Autauga WMA-County Road 112; Autauga WMA-Hart Addition; Cahaba River-Shelby County-Park Peel Addition: Coldwater Mountain-Robertson Addition; Calhoun County; and Freedom Hills WMA-Boddie Addition, Colbert County. Those appraisals are in hand. And to give you a rough idea, they approach about 4 million in appraised properties that are on Tab 3a.

1.5

2.1

There is one appraisal that we did not receive in time for today's meeting, and that's the Red Hills-Flat Creek

Phase V appraisal. It is very close to completion but was not complete for me to have for you today. We will have it at the next meeting.

That encompasses all the previous motions for first appraisal. Any questions on Tab 3a?

All right. If the Board would now turn in your packet to Tab 5a, we're going to -- I'm going to run through the usual sort of grant status report.

The Board many, many years ago instructed the staff to routinely try to identify grant opportunities and leveraging opportunities for nominations that are in the system. So what we do in Tab a is give you kind of an overview — some of this is on repeat — but let you know where we are with anything that has a

connection to grant funds we are either chasing or leveraging opportunities we kind of are pursuing. So we have several nominations that are currently in some stage of closing that have benefited from funding from the National Coastal Wetlands Conservation Grant Program, and they are -- somewhat vary in percentage, but those tracts that are in closing and with the assistance of grant funds include the Bon Secour River Wetlands Addition, D'Olive Bay Addition, Styx River Wetlands We also have funds that are U.S. tract. Fish and Wildlife Service Recovery Land Acquisition and Habitat Conservation Plan grant funds that are supporting the Red Hills-Flat Creek Phase IV nomination.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

Of the appraised nominations that present leveraging or grant funding opportunities, we have the Autauga County WMA -- excuse me -- Autauga WMA-County Road 112 Addition. That is being -- the Wildlife and Freshwater

Fisheries, Chuck Sykes, has offered to utilize his Pittman-Robertson funding to support approximately a 75/25 split with Forever Wild. Of that, Forever Wild's acquisition of approximately 25 percent of appraised value is the match required for Wildlife to have available of its PR funds to use for their purchase of the remaining approximately 75 percent.

1.5

Under the PR program, Forever Wild holds title to its purchased acreage and Wildlife would hold title to the acreage that was supported by the Pittman-Robertson funds. That same program and same offer of approximately a 75/25 split from Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries Division is comprised to the Autauga County WMA-Hart Addition.

The Wildlife and Freshwater

Fisheries Division has also submitted a

grant application for additional Recovery

Land Acquisition and Habitat Conservation

Plan Funds to support the Red Hills-Flat

Creek Phase V. That one we see a little -- little higher percentage for Forever Wild at 26 percent, not much.

Again, all these are approximate, but I will tell you it's a little closer to the 76/24. I want to double check with Doug Deaton.

Doug, has that award been received?

1.5

2.1

MR. DEATON: We have not.

MS. MCCURDY: That award -- that's

Doug Deaton with Wildlife. So that award

has not been received, but we are very

encouraged about that.

Going into short list nominations. These are properties on the short list, but these have not yet received a motion for first appraisal, one would be the Portland Landing SOA-Donald Addition in Dallas County. That tract is another offer from Wildlife of leveraging with Pittman-Robertson funds. That one is at 70/30, so that would be a higher

percentage than 75/25 for Forever Wild. Again, the ask for Forever Wild is 30 percent, but part of that is due to on-the-ground evaluation between the State Lands Division and Wildlife as to on-theground boundaries as well as easier boundaries between the program to have, you know, acreage title to. We have one other opportunity that is not quite on the short list but is -- Andrew mentioned he'll be submitting the nomination for the Dugdown Mountain Corridor. That's the one you heard discussed. I did include -you've got maps on that. That's for informational purposes just of an opportunity that's being investigated and pursued for potential grant funding. questions on those?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

All right. What I'd like now to do is ask you to turn to Tab 4. I now would like to run through Tab 4b. And if you'll turn to Tab 4b, you'll have a brief description of the tract but more

importantly a map for each tract. are the tracts that are on the short list. These tracts have received -- we've received willing seller letters returned -- so we have confirmed willing sellers on these tracts -- and these tracts are scored on the four categories outlined in the constitutional amendment and then additions to State Parks, addition to Wildlife Management Areas or new ones of either of those, general recreation, and natural preserves. They're scored on those four categories. A property and nomination then has to make the top three ranking competing against other nominations in the programs through districts, the Northern District, Central, So they have to score top and Southern. three in one of the four categories. properties rank in the top three in multiple or all categories. So the number of short list nominations vary each meeting as well as the ones you will see

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

whose score was either high enough to get it on or something might have bumped it. So it's a living list of nominations.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

So I'll run through those now. I say each time, speak up if you have a question because I'm going to be looking at my list here. So we have Alligator Bayou, Mobile County; Butler Foster Praytor Mountains in Jefferson County. And as you'll notice on the map, there's several small tracts. That landowner still wants all tracts considered and was not willing to knock out any of those. Chickasaw Creek Tract, Mobile County; Coldwater Mountain-Martin Addition, Calhoun County; D'Olive Bay-North Addition, Baldwin County; Little River Forest-Lomax Branch Addition. Escambia County. Now, I will note for the Board, as I mentioned at the last meeting, the Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries Division is actively working with that landowner in the process of trying to get

that closed so -- but it is not closed yet 1 2 so it remains on our list, but I do expect that that nomination will be acquired by 3 the Wildlife section solely within the 4 So I'll let you know that status. 5 6 I think that one will be taken care of without the program's assistance, but it 7 8 has not yet closed so it remains on this list. Natural Bridge Park, 9 Winston County; Oak Mountain State 10 Park-Belcher Addition Phase II; Oak 11 Mountain State Park-Odess Addition; 12 Persimmon Knob --1.3 MR. CHILDERS: Patti? 14 MS. MCCURDY: -- in Morgan County. 15 I'm sorry. Do we have a question? 16 MR. CHILDERS: Over here. 17 MS. MCCURDY: Yes, sir? 18 MR. CHILDERS: If a piece of land goes 19 20 under private (inaudible) and is 21 ultimately sold --MS. MCCURDY: I'm sorry. A parcel of 22 23 land -- I missed that second part.

MR. CHILDERS: Persimmon Knob, if it sells to someone but it's still on this list, what happens to Persimmon Knob?

MS. MCCURDY: If it sells to someone?

MR. CHILDERS: Yeah.

1.5

MS. MCCURDY: It comes off. If there has been a closing -- because if it's a new owner, I would no longer have a valid, willing seller letter because the ownership has changed, so it has to come off.

MR. CHILDERS: So you get notice -you get notice of that when it sells, or
does it come some other way?

MS. MCCURDY: That depends. Sometimes when -- there is no automatic search of records that we do before each meeting.

Normally, the land -- whoever nominated it normally lets us know. Normally there's communication with that landowner, but I would never say that I would know -- it's very possible that something could sell without me knowing. Now, we would find

that out in the next stage, but if you --1 if we have information on that, I'd be --2 you know, like to have that. 3 Well, this piece of MR. CHILDERS: 4 property is on the short list, but it's 5 6 never been a motion to get a first appraisal, correct? 7 8 MS. MCCURDY: That's correct. If that had been made, it would be on the 9 appraised nominations list. 10 MR. CHILDERS: Thank you. 11 MS. MCCURDY: All right. I believe 12 that we've passed through the 13 Oak Mountain. We're on Persimmon. Did we 14 get past Persimmon? 1.5 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: No, we did not. 16 17 MS. MCCURDY: So Persimmon Knob, Morgan County; Portland Landing 18 SOA-Donald Addition, Dallas County; 19 Riverton CHA -- that's Community Hunting 20 21 Area -- Barnett Mill Hollow Addition, Colbert County; Riverton CHA-Hurd Hollow 22 Addition, Colbert. 23

MR. SATTERFIELD: Patti, I requested -- I've not seen this term CHA before. I understand the WMA and the SOA. What's the difference in those three kinds of categories?

1.3

1.5

2.1

MS. MCCURDY: I'll let Doug come up and tell you on behalf of Wildlife a definition, so to speak, of a Community Hunting Area.

And, Doug, you might compare it to a WMA as an example.

MR. DEATON: A Community Hunting Area is a term that we used to use. We've now shifted over to WMA. But it was smaller areas that didn't have a designated manager for that area, say — we have Lauderdale WMA and Freedom Hills WMA. Those had designated managers and they were on site at those areas, and then the managers would then move over and manage those properties but not be there full time. So that's the only difference is the size and the staff.

MR. SATTERFIELD: And so the smaller ones are called a Community Hunting Area?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

MR. DEATON: Community Hunting Area, but there may --

MR. SATTERFIELD: So they're sort of self-regulating, there's no on-site manager is what you're saying?

MR. DEATON: That's correct.

MS. MCCURDY: And next we have Saginaw Swamp, Shelby County; Sipsey River Swamp-Brownville Addition Amended. one is called amended because in this case the landowner did remove the outlying parcels, so the nomination is now the single parcel that you see on the map. That's why the name has amended by it. And then the Weeks Bay Reserve-Marney We are still keeping an eye out Addition. for potential supporting funding through possible NOAA sources from that tract, but we currently have not had that success so right now it is not a grant-supported tract but we remain -- we would still like anything to support that acquisition yet.

I just mention that because I did mention it at a prior meeting also. So those tracts are the ones that are short list and available for potential motions for first appraisal from the Board. Before we move on, any questions on those tracts?

1.5

All right. Commissioner, I think, unless I missed something, that we're in general discussion.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So we'll move into general discussion. I do have a couple of things that I will discuss relating to the motion that was passed by the Board last meeting on the cooperation with the counties. But before I get to that, I would like to -- while we're on the short list information and the appraised values are fresh in everybody's mind, I would like to go ahead and just open it up if there are any motions or questions related to that as we usually do

1	in general discussion.
2	MS. MCCURDY: In relation to the
3	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: No. In
4	relation to acquisition or appraisals.
5	MS. MCCURDY: Okay. You're going to
6	make your other comments later?
7	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Comments later.
8	MS. MCCURDY: So we'll proceed as
9	usual with any motions or questions or
10	general discussion from the Board.
11	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Darnall?
12	MR. DARNALL: Yes. I'd like to make a
13	motion for first appraisal for the
14	Riverton CHA-Barnett Mill Hollow property.
15	MR. SATTERFIELD: I'm sorry. I
16	didn't
17	MS. MCCURDY: That's Riverton
18	CHA-Barnett Mill Addition. That's Tab 4b
19	page 25.
20	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Is there a
21	second?
22	MR. SATTERFIELD: Second.
23	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by

Mr. Satterfield. 1 Any questions or discussions on 2 that? 3 All those in favor of a first 4 appraisal for the Riverton CHA-Barnett 5 Mill Addition please say aye. 6 Any opposed? 7 8 None opposed. The motion carries. DR. MCCLINTOCK: Mr. Chairman, Jim 9 I'd like to make a move for a McClintock. 10 first appraisal of Oak Mountain-Belcher 11 Addition Phase II. 12 MR. SATTERFIELD: I can't hear that. 13 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I'll repeat it. 14 So it's a first appraisal -- motion for a 15 first appraisal for the Oak 16 Mountain-Belcher Addition Phase II. 17 DR. SALOOM: Second that. 18 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by 19 Dr. Saloom. The motion is made by 20 Any discussion on that 21 Dr. McClintock.

first appraisal on the Oak Mountain State

Park-Belcher Addition Phase II?

22

23

All those in favor please say aye.

Any opposed?

None opposed. Motion carries.

Dr. Powers?

1.5

2.1

DR. POWERS: I'd like to make a motion for a second appraisal and proceed to purchase Freedom Hills-Boddie Addition.

MR. JONES: I'll second.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So I have a motion by Dr. Powers and seconded by Mr. Jones for a second appraisal and move to purchase on the Freedom Hills-Boddie Addition. Any questions or discussion?

All those in favor in say aye.

Any opposed?

None opposed. The motion carries.

Dr. Saloom?

DR. SALOOM: I'd like to make a motion for the staff to proceed with a second appraisal and purchase of the Red Hills-Flat Creek Phase IV nomination consistent with the revised first appraisal value contingent with the Wildlife and

Freshwater Fisheries Division providing funding in the amount of approximately 1.2 million dollars.

MS. MCCURDY: For those looking for that one, that is discussed in memo -- Tab memo 5h with -- there's a map that may be your easiest map.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Need a second on that.

MR. OATES: Second.

1.5

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by Mr. Oates.

All right. Any discussion or questions on that? That was the one that had been appraised previously that we had motioned to move forward at a new appraised value, and so this motion is for a second — or to move to purchase from that second appraisal contingent on the Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries Division providing 1.2 million dollars; is that correct?

MS. MCCURDY: Yeah. I'm sorry.

Whatever -- hold on one second. If you look at Tab 5a number 4, that's where the language of the motion we just -- that was just made by Dr. Saloom if anyone wants to review that and be sure that the second is correct, which I'm just not sure when -- when you spoke, I didn't have it in front of me. I wasn't sure how you said it after Dr. Saloom said it.

1.5

2.1

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Let me restate that. The motion is for the staff to do the second appraisal to purchase the Red Hills nomination consistent with the first appraisal contingent upon the Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries Division providing 1.2 million dollars approximately for the acquisition. Any questions about that?

Any more discussion?

All those in favor say aye.

Any opposed?

None opposed. The motion carries. Thank you.

MR. HOLLOWAY: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Holloway?

1.5

2.1

MR. HOLLOWAY: I'd like to move for a second appraisal for the Cahaba River-Shelby County-Park Peel Addition move to purchase.

DR. MCCLINTOCK: I'll second that.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So the motion is for a second appraisal and move to purchase the Cahaba River-Shelby County-Park Peel Addition; is that right?

MR. HOLLOWAY: That's correct.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Let me make sure everybody -- we're all talking about the same place. And then that was a motion by Mr. Holloway and seconded by Dr. McClintock.

DR. MCCLINTOCK: Correct.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So that is on 3a, 3a page 4 -- 3b page 4. I'm sorry.

All right. So this is the motion for a second appraisal and move to purchase the Cahaba River-Park Peel Addition. Any questions or discussion?

All those in favor say aye. 1 Mr. Satterfield? 2 MR. SATTERFIELD: I noticed that this 3 is not contiquous to and I don't know how 4 far it is from the Belcher property 5 6 purchase. Do we know what the distance is from that? Because my question is the 7 8 appraisal price came in at the same value as the Belcher property, and I'm not --9 I'm wondering how they relate. 10 MR. HOLLOWAY: They don't relate. 11 MR. SATTERFIELD: They don't relate. 12 13 But they --MS. MCCURDY: I don't know that 14 they -- the Cahaba River-Shelby County-15 Park Peel -- I'm sorry. Let me be sure if 16 we have comments that they're where the 17 court reporter can get it. Your question 18 was how does the -- how do the two 19 properties relate? 20 2.1 MR. SATTERFIELD: Well, I was just wondering about the appraisal price 22

because it seems to me the appraisal price

23

for that -- for the piece -- the Park Peel Addition is a little bit high.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

MS. MCCURDY: Well, that would be -that's what the appraisal came back at, so that's up to the Board. This does have a lot of frontage to the Cahaba River. As you will see, the entire -- it's like a peninsula and it is adjacent to the current Forever Wild acreage and the -you know, there, so it is -- you know, it is -- that frontage would normally be seen But it's up to the Board. as valuable. Ι answered yes, but the appraised value is from our contract appraiser. It kind of It's available to the is what it is. Board to take whatever action or no action the Board would like to take.

MR. SATTERFIELD: Do we know how far this --

MS. MCCURDY: How miles?

MR. SATTERFIELD: How many?

MS. MCCURDY: You're asking how many miles on the map?

MR. SATTERFIELD: Yes.

MS. MCCURDY: That, I do not know off the top of my head. If you'll give me a second, we'll try to find a map that might help you, but you're going to have to give me a second.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: It's not close. This is the Oak Mountain State Park. The Belcher Addition is over on the -- in Shelby County on the east side, and this Cahaba River Park is over there next to Bibb County on the southwest portion of Shelby County.

MR. SATTERFIELD: And so unlike the Belcher property where it is near major developments in the Birmingham area, this piece of property is more isolated and undeveloped in an undeveloped area in comparison, question mark.

MS. MCCURDY: It's a growing area of Shelby County. I don't know if I would -- I mean, it's probably a little bit denser, yes, next to Oak Mountain, but this is a

growing area of Shelby County and this 1 Cahaba River frontage is a significant 2 interest and I would say does pose a 3 danger of private acquisition at the 4 peninsula point of our holding there. 5 MR. HOLLOWAY: 6 Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir? 7 8 MR. HOLLOWAY: It's in the area of Helena, Alabama, and what I'm saying is 9 the Forever Wild Board currently has 10 property at the Cahaba River Park already. 11 This is just an addition to that. 12 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: 13 Any other questions or discussion on this? 14 The motion on the floor is a 1.5 second appraisal and move to purchase on 16 the Cahaba River Park-Peel Addition. 17 All those in favor say aye. 18 Any opposed? 19 MR. SATTERFIELD: I abstain. 20 We still have the 2.1 MS. MCCURDY: required number of votes to proceed. 22 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So the motion 23

carries. 1 Thank you. 2 3 Dr. Powers? DR. POWERS: I'd like to move for a 4 first appraisal for the Natural Bridge 5 6 Park. 7 MR. HOLLOWAY: Second. CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Let me catch up 8 First appraisal of Natural Bridge 9 here. by Dr. Powers. Who was the second? 10 MR. HOLLOWAY: Holloway. 11 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Holloway. 12 So the motion is for a first 13 appraisal on the Natural Bridge property. 14 Any discussion? 1.5 Question. MR. SATTERFIELD: 16 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: 17 Mr. Satterfield? 18 MR. SATTERFIELD: Patti, I don't know 19 how -- this is -- we've heard a proposal 20 from the Natural Bridge Park folks and the 2.1

legislators and the Commissioner about

moving the structures and who's going to

22

23

be responsible for that. My concern is this property -- how would the appraiser go about appraising that property. Will he -- if he had to appraise it now with those structures still in existence, would he have to consider the value of those structures in the purchase price even though they're going to be removed? How does that work?

MS. MCCURDY: We've encountered this on other tracts, so what the appraiser -I'm sorry. We've approached this -- we've had structures on other tracts. The appraiser initially as a first step appraises the property as he appraises any property. Highest and best use, that's how he appraises the property. But then he will separately appraise the structures so that we can then back out the structures from the total, what is then our appraisal value that we use to discuss with the landowner. Here the possibly additional aspect but it would be treated

the same, I'm not sure how the appraiser might or might not value any income that is coming from the taking of admission, the gift shop, any proceeds like that, but what -- I'm going to use the term revenue generated from the property, if that is identified, it would be identified in the appraisal, but it will be deducted from anything that was offered to the landowner. That is what led to the letter we received from the representative of the landowners because I was concerned that they appreciate that the structures would come down, so the value of the land and to the program would be under that. also inform the appraiser that this will happen, this is the conditions, to see if I mean, the end appraised that changes. value, but I want the Board to know that, you know, where we have structures on other properties, we have backed that value out.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. SATTERFIELD: Well, the reason I

ask that question is because this property, as we know, was publicly marketed before.

MS. MCCURDY: And currently is still.

MR. SATTERFIELD: And I don't know if it's still being publicly marketed.

MS. MCCURDY: It is.

2.1

MR. SATTERFIELD: But it's got a

3 million dollar price on it. I can talk
about that without us being involved
because that's a public price.

MS. MCCURDY: Sure.

MR. SATTERFIELD: And for that size property, that is a huge price, huge premium. I don't know if we have ever paid that much before because it would be over \$20,000 an acre if we purchased it at that publicly marketed price.

MS. MCCURDY: But we've never done that.

MR. SATTERFIELD: So having said that, I'm hoping, Mr. Legislator, that the folks who own that property are not expecting

that kind of price from our process, because we have a different kind of process for a different kind of purpose. We can't accept the structures. We can't deal with those kind of things. So before we embark down this path of going to the expense of appraising the property, I just wanted to kind of air that so that everybody's on the same path of understanding of what we're going to do in the future once we get an appraisal back, if we do. So that's the reason I ask those questions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. MCCURDY: Yes. And that's a very valid point. It has been discussed with Reba Hix and the representative from the beginning. I can only present what has been presented to me. What has been presented to me that touches on the topic is attached to tab — the memo 5h. So the family is fully aware, the representative and I have had detailed conversations about that topic, but he can come up and

I'll let him confirm. I mean, we have not bound the family. None of us know what the appraisal will show. So they're not bound to accept the appraisal. You're not bound to purchase the property, but there have been detailed and repeated discussions with the representatives of the landowner from an agent standpoint and then the representatives.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

REPRESENTATIVE ESTES: Commissioner, if I might make a quick -- the letter you have, I think, addresses at least a portion of the original question you had about the understanding of the current They realize those structures have absolutely no value to this Board, so they know that's going to bring it down some as well. And they are very civic-minded people. And I can't speak for what they would accept, but we don't know what they would accept until we get appraisals. So that's all I'm -- I'm grateful. Hopefully, the Board is going

to go ahead and move forward with this 1 2 today so we can get the appraisal process started, and if we're able to buy the 3 4 5

can.

property, which is obviously my hope and prayer we would for future generations, that's outstanding. Should we not be able 6 to reach a price, we've done everything we 7

8

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Powers?

9 10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

DR. POWERS: So that was my motivation behind the motion is really just to see what it would cost. It's a unique property. It's scored in a couple of categories and, you know, in my mind the first -- what they showed us didn't even enter my mind because it's not what we base our decisions on so -- and I think this is a prime example where just because we get a first appraisal doesn't

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. CHILDERS: There was mention of a

Mr. Childers?

necessarily mean we'll move to a second

appraisal because of the cost issues.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP:

23

remote-operated gate access,
ingress/egress. Are we going to limit
public access to this piece of property?
I thought we owned public access to our
properties. And is this going to be a

revenue producer?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. MCCURDY: It will not be a revenue You can -- this Board, as part of the management plan, can decide whether or not they want a gate. We do have gates, not this -- we've never used -- I'm frankly not familiar with this type of gate so we have not used that, but we have certain gates that we do at times limit road access to our properties. This is a little different, but it is to me the same concept. The Board might decide that they don't think that it's an additional security measure that they would want. However, one of the past questions of this Board dealt with security and access and potential vandalism or danger to the feature itself going from an attraction

that's manned to an unmanned -- kind of like the Community Hunting Areas Doug talked about, there's really not somebody there. So that would be up to this Board. But that was a question and response from the County Commission of trying to address a concern that the Board had in the past.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

Yes, sir, Dr. McClintock?

DR. MCCLINTOCK: Yeah, I would just add that having visited the property, I think a gate is a great idea. I think you'd have a huge problem if it was open after hours, so to speak. You'd have to have the sheriffs in there all the time. But if it was just open during the daytime, I think that would be very It's just too prized a controllable. location for people to resist going in and putting graffiti on things. It is a beautiful area.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Jones?

MR. JONES: My concern with this is

even though it's a -- I think it's a

really unique feature, I don't know that this Board -- I feel like from a management standpoint, it's 125 acres kind of out in the middle of nowhere. say out in the middle of nowhere, out in the middle of nowhere from anything that we own or operate, and so from a management perspective, I have concerns about how we're going to do that. historically through the years, we've always tended to buy properties -- if they were off in an area we weren't in, they were large properties, and I just -- in my opinion, I have concerns about the sheer size of this one, and the fact that even though it's a really cool feature, I don't know that -- and I think it's going to be real expensive. I think it's something that we need to consider.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Saloom?

DR. SALOOM: Just for informational purposes, do we know -- there's a gift shop on this property, and do we know that

if this property is sold that gift shop will be retained and stay open, or do we have a discussion about that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

All current structures MS. MCCURDY: would be removed. Having been on site, they are -- I'm not saying they are in bad shape, which is why we had concern about the valuation expectations. They're not in bad shape, but I think they present just a potential for security issues on the property, and we would not programmatically be using the structures. That's what led to the demolition. We only do that on other property -- unless the structure serves a particular purpose, we will take that structure down. These are well-built, so it would be an expense.

DR. SALOOM: One other additional question. Do we know how many visitors go through that area in, say, a year's time?

MS. MCCURDY: I'd have to turn to others. I know that visitation was tracked. I have talked with the owner

about that, but I would -- I don't know.

Reba, do you have any of that information?

MS. HIX: It varies. I'm Reba Hix. I'm with Southeast Commercial, and I am selling the property for the Denton family.

There has been a big upheaval since COVID. Of course, people are trying to be outside and outdoors, so they have seen -- I had a list -- well, actually, I may have it -- of the property -- I mean some of the people visiting from places like Jasper, Mississippi; San Diego, California; Florida -- this has just been in this past month -- Moundville, Alabama; Arizona; Birmingham; Gainesville, Florida; Florence, Alabama.

DR. SALOOM: What's the total?

MS. HIX: I'm trying to look at the total. Well, they don't say how many are in the groups, but there's like ten pages in the month of June of visitors.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Thank vou. we had this one on the -- we've had a lot of interest from the community, a lot of representatives made several trips to talk to the Board. Appreciate the County Commissioner being here. And so I do think it's a good point for us to vote either to have -- to move forward with appraisal on this or if there's not interest in the Board, everybody -- I think there's been a great deal of discussion over several meetings well over a year on this particular property. thank you, Dr. Powers, for making the motion.

I'd like to call the question now and let's vote on a first appraisal for the Natural Bridge property. All those in favor please say aye.

All opposed say no.

Let's do it by a show of hands.

All those in favor please raise your hand.

MS. MCCURDY: I see six.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All those in favor raise your hand.

MS. MCCURDY: Up high in favor.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: One, two, three, four, five, six.

And all those opposed? All those opposed please raise your hand. One, two three, four opposed.

All right. The motion fails. We have to have nine affirmative votes to move forward.

MS. MCCURDY: And for the public's benefit, that's regardless of the number in attendance. It is a requirement for action to acquire a property to have a three-fifths vote. So it takes nine --

MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman, before we leave this, is there any possibility at all of this property being considered for or taken into the State Parks system? I think if there was a possibility for that where the State would now operate it, even though it's a small piece of property --

I've been to natural bridge formations in other states where they are small pieces, but they still are part of the State Parks If you brought it in the State Parks system, I think that could solve a lot of the problems some of us are having with this piece of property because of other issues like -- as we talked about, liability, vandalism, security, all of those kind of things that we're depending on this community to provide. Even though I take it in good faith that they will do their job, it's still going to be a difficult property to protect and manage, and that's one thing you want to be sure if we -- if anybody purchases it that it doesn't get desecrated because it's too valuable for that. So that's the reason I'm asking my question. That's the reason I voted no.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I will speak on behalf of Alabama State Parks as Commissioner of Conservation, we had

considered this early on when this was presented and that was not a business decision for our State Parks. It would not be a good business decision for us to be able to acquire it and maintain it long-term.

Thank you.

1.3

1.5

2.1

Any other discussion?

MR. CHILDERS: I just think it's a reflection on the state to not be able to preserve the largest natural bridge east of the Rocky Mountains as some kind of heritage or something for the State of Alabama for the Southeast.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Darnall?

MR. DARNALL: I'd like to make a motion for first appraisal for the Riverton CHA-Hurd Hollow Addition property.

MR. SATTERFIELD: Sorry?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So the motion is for a first appraisal for the Riverton CHA-Hurd Hollow Addition. Is there a

second? 1 2 MS. MCCURDY: Mr. Satterfield, did you have a question? 3 MR. SATTERFIELD: No. I just was not 4 sure I heard the nomination. 5 I'll second it. 6 7 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by Mr. Satterfield. 8 Any discussion or questions on 9 that? 10 All those in favor of a first 11 appraisal on the Riverton CHA-Hurd Hollow 12 Addition please say aye. 13 Any opposed? 14 None opposed. The motion carries. 1.5 MR. OATES: Mr. Chairman? 16 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Oates? 17 MR. OATES: I'd like to ask that the 18 Little River Forest-Lomax Branch 19 Addition -- I quess Patti had indicated 20 it's under negotiations with Freshwater 21 22 Fisheries? MS. MCCURDY: Let me -- yes. I'll let 23

Doug come up and speak on that. The Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries Division has been in direct contact with the landowner working toward closing it with their own funds, but let me have Doug give you a more particular update.

1.5

MR. DEATON: So we've negotiated a price, and we're at the point now to move towards closing as far as our grant application initially closed sometime after October 1. So there's no reason for us to --

MR. OATES: So that's a moot point for us?

MR. DEATON: Correct.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. McClintock?

DR. MCCLINTOCK: Yeah. Patti, I have a question for you. Is there any disadvantage to our doing first appraisal on the Weeks Bay-Marney Addition in terms of finding alternate sources of revenue to make that purchase?

MS. MCCURDY: I wouldn't call it a

disadvantage other than if it's -- if we get the appraisal now and it takes more than 12 months to get to closing, you have, you know, an out-of-date appraisal. I think we can pursue the opportunities we want to pursue in the moment without having to have that appraisal in hand. have enough knowledge in the area to make a good faith estimate on that for grant application purposes. There's no disadvantage other than I will just caution that it might be an appraisal expense now that has to be repeated depending on the timing of the grant funds and getting to the closing table.

DR. MCCLINTOCK: Then I'll hold on making that.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

MR. JONES: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Jones?

MR. JONES: I'd like to make a motion for the staff to proceed with the second appraisal and purchase of Autauga

WMA-County Road 112 Addition nomination contingent upon the Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries Division providing funds for its acquisition of acreage equaling approximately 75 percent of the total appraised value for this nomination.

MR. DARNALL: I'll make a second.

MS. MCCURDY: That is Tab a page 2.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So the motion is for a second appraisal and move to purchase on the Autauga

WMA-County Road 112 Addition with the stipulation that the Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries Division provide approximately 75 percent of the cost.

Any discussion on that?
Mr. Satterfield?

MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I would like to see the second appraisal, but I am concerned about committing to moving on to the purchase without seeing that second appraisal. We have a process. We discussed that in executive committee.

We know how that works. I would much rather see a motion to say proceed to the second appraisal and then see how that results and compares to the first appraisal so we can see what we're really dealing with in potential price and then move on to purchase. I don't -- unless there's some time frame issue that requires us to include in the motion purchase today, I'd rather see -- take another -- have the opportunity to have another crack at that apple on the appraisal price before we move to purchase. That's my only comment on this particular motion the way it's worded.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So would you like to make a substitute motion or move --

MR. SATTERFIELD: I would move to first appraisal without moving to purchase -- however -- I mean second -- move to second appraisal but not include in that motion the purchase -- moving on

to purchase until we see the results of 1 that second appraisal, however that needs 2 to be worded. 3 MS. MCCURDY: Then it would be just a 4 5 motion for a second appraisal, period. 6 That is the motion I would include, you know, motion for second appraisal and come 7 8 back to the Board -- report back to the Board, or something like that. 9 MR. HOLLOWAY: Mr. Chairman, I second. 10 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I can't 11 remember what we do on substitute motions, 12 so go ahead. You have the point of order. 13 If there's two motions MR. CHILDERS: 14 on the table at the same time, we need to 15 take care of the first one first. 16 17 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right. MR. JONES: Mr. Chairman, I'll be 18 willing to withdraw my motion if 19 Mr. Darnall is willing to withdraw his 20 2.1 second. MR. DARNALL: I'll remove the second. 22 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: 23 So Mr. Jones

has withdrawn his motion and Mr. Darnall 1 has withdrawn his second. 2 So now, Mr. Satterfield, would you 3 like to make a motion? 4 MR. SATTERFIELD: I make a motion we 5 6 move to second appraisal. MR. HOLLOWAY: Second. 8 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So motioned by Mr. Satterfield and seconded by 9 Mr. Holloway is to move for a second 10 appraisal on the Autauga 11 WMA-County Road 112 Addition and not to 12 move to purchase but just for the 1.3 appraisal. And we'll have that 14 information at a future meeting. 1.5 Any questions about the motion? 16 Ι want to make sure everybody's clear on 17 what we're voting on. Any discussion? 18 All those in favor say aye. 19 20 Any opposed? 2.1 None opposed. The motion carries. I think I heard somebody at this 22 end of the table. 23

Yes, Mr. Commissioner. MR. WRIGHT: 1 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Wright? 2 MR. WRIGHT: Commissioner, I'd like to 3 make a motion for second appraisal on the 4 Coldwater Mountain-Robinson Addition. 5 It's 43 acres. It will -- it's all 6 landlocked by Forever Wild property. 8 think it would be a good purchase. DR. MCCLINTOCK: I'll second that. 9 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So the motion 10 by Mr. Wright, and Dr. McClintock seconded 11 that before I could for a second appraisal 12 and move to purchase on the Coldwater 13 Mountain-Robertson Addition. 14 questions about that? 1.5 All those in favor say aye. 16 17 Any opposed? None opposed. The motion carries. 18 Mr. Chairman? MR. JONES: 19 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Jones? 20 I'd like to make a motion 2.1 MR. JONES: for staff to proceed with the second 22 appraisal and purchase of the Autauga 23

WMA-Hart Addition nomination contingent upon the Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries Division providing funds for its acquisition of acreage equaling approximately 75 percent of the total appraised value for the nomination.

MR. HOLLOWAY: Second.

1.5

2.1

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Who was the second?

MR. HOLLOWAY: Holloway.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So the motion is for a second appraisal and move to purchase on the Autauga WMA-Hart Addition, motion made by Mr. Jones and seconded by Mr. Holloway. Any questions on that?

Discussion?

All those in favor say aye.

Any opposed?

None opposed. The motion carries.

All right. Thank you-all. I see no further hands. We'll move on to other general discussion and miscellaneous reports.

I will, as promised, as an update 1 2 as you will recall at the last meeting, we had discussion about working with the 3 counties to provide a fee or payment to 4 5 the counties at a figure of 1.60 per acre we voted and passed at the last meeting. 6 We've had discussion with a few of our 7 8 Board members that were not present at the 9 meeting, particularly Mr. Satterfield, and then others. Our legal staff has looked 10 at the best path forward for us to work 11 with counties, working with our accounting 12 manager, accounting director for the 13 Department of Conservation, and the state 14 system on transferring funds and how it 1.5 would be best to do that to account for 16 the dollars, how we would transfer that to 17 the counties in the most efficient manner, 18 and the best manner to protect the Board 19

20

2.1

22

23

fiduciary responsibility with the funds.

We have -- just as a point of

information for the Board, we will work --

and the Department of Conservation and our

we will develop a contract, an agreement, that we will do with each of the counties for what they will -- cooperatively how we will work on these properties that are in their county and how we will transfer the money, whether they'll have to register in our STAARS system and do all that to transfer that. So just letting you know that we'll have a template that we work with with the counties for them to sign and that we'll sign as the -- I'll sign on your behalf as the Chairman of the Forever Wild Board, and then we'll handle everything else that has to be discussed at the meeting. We will have an agreement with each of the counties on what will happen, what we'll do, and what they'll do So that was probably a moving forward. rambling way to say what we've -- how we've handled that administratively, it will be burdensome the first time for us to get this template and agreement together with the counties, but then after

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

that in future years, it should be what I have promised our staff and the legislators that we would do something that would not be administratively burdensome over time and that would be fair to the counties and so on.

Any questions about that?

MR. CHILDERS: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Childers?

MR. CHILDERS: Will this be a perpetual contract? Will it be a year at a time, or how is the term going to be with the contract with each county?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Our attorneys will be working that out, but it will not be a year to date. I anticipate it being like most of our contracts where we'll have the ability for one or either of the parties to terminate the contract with a certain amount of notice.

MR. CHILDERS: Okay. Thank you.

MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Commissioner?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Wright?

2.1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

22

MR. WRIGHT: What will be the timetable for the first payment?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: The Board had motioned -- or we agreed at the last meeting that that would be October 1st of this year and it would be based on the acreage that was owned by Forever Wild Land Trust as of August 1st of this year.

MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman, given the close time frame on that and the short time to begin to develop the template and then to negotiate with the counties to sign those contracts because some counties may or may not want to be part of that -for example, our folks in Shelby County because they would rather have Forever Wild land and benefits of -- economic benefits of Forever Wild land not to worry about the loss of, well, ad valorem tax revenues, and I think that's a very wise I think others of our counties decision. would probably hopefully see that in the same way, because Forever Wild land is for

recreational value and for benefit of the nearby citizens and the economic money that it brings in from visitors, I think, in many cases more than offsets the loss of tax revenue, and some of the studies that we've had presented to the Board over the years show that that's the case. it seems to me that we'll need more time than from now till the first of October to get to the point where -- and I would like for the Board to have some ability to see and have some input into that template. Ι would suggest that we need to wait until -- delay any payments, any implementation of that time frame until after we get those details worked out, because, as we've discussed, I've done a lot of legal research on this process and that's one of the things we suggested that we thought might work that would pass constitutional muster. But I think there's a lot of details that have to be worked out, and I'd rather take a little

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

more time to do that, some ability to see that product and have input into it, than to rush forward with the arbitrary date deadline that was set in that first motion. So I don't know how we deal with that. I don't know if you need a motion to that effect, but that's my -- as a lawyer, that's my concern about rushing forward with the implementation process.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Darnall?

MR. DARNALL: So, Bill, I understand what you're talking about, but I took it as the dates given in the motion were the cutoff dates, the properties in the system as of August the 1st and the fiscal year starting October 1st. I didn't read that as we were going to start writing checks and that -- and I agree with you, it is a -- although conceptually it's pretty straightforward, it can be pretty complex, and I would hope that we don't have a different contract with each county but it's the same -- you know, so -- but

the -- from a budgeting standpoint and from a planning standpoint, properties in the system since August 1st, this will be year starting October 1st, I saw that more as just parameters and not when we actually write checks.

1.5

2.1

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: And that's correct. We don't write any checks
October 1st anyway. We don't get paid
October 1st in the state, so that is -you are correct. And I have great
confidence in our legal section with
Charlanna and Juliana and the work that
they have already put into this and legal
thoughts and working through that with our
accounting system on how to put these
templates together for the counties.

And I agree, we're not planning to have 34 separate, different contracts.

We'll work out a template that is -captures all of that and have -- the
counties will have to approve it. I'm
sure there will be county commission

meetings, and it will not -- we won't be writing checks October 1st or making transfers October 1st through our STAARS accounting system.

MR. SATTERFIELD: Well, will the template, however it's developed, be presented back to the Board to look at and have the Board members' input into the development of that process?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Our next meeting is in November.

DR. SALOOM: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Saloom?

DR. SALOOM: I would think that that would be a working within the division and your departments and everything. I think it's kind of more micromanaging than anything, so I would not be in favor of having to approve a template that y'all know that will be functional for the division.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Dr. Powers?

DR. POWERS: And I totally agree. I

1.5

think, you know, that would be -- you know, we don't need to be involved at that level. I mean, if there's ever a change in the rate we compensate them or something like that, we would want to be involved in that discussion, but...

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any other discussion on that?

Thank you.

1.5

You want to take it over with Tab 5?

MS. MCCURDY: Yes. If the Board will flip to Tab 5, we'll just go into usual miscellaneous matters.

If you will -- first off, at

Tab 5b, this is the point at each meeting
where we identify the nominations that,
absent additional Board action, will roll
off of either the short list or the
appraised nominations list. Again, it
does not forever bar a property, but it
would require renominations or starting
over for a nomination. Without Board

action at the next meeting, your packet will not have the following two tracts on the short list: Natural Bridge Park and Saginaw Swamp. Again, those two will roll off the short list without a request from the Board. So if there's nothing additional on that matter -- Mr. Holloway?

MR. HOLLOWAY: Mr. Chairman, on the Saginaw Swamp, I'd like for it to remain on the list.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All right.

MS. MCCURDY: Then we'll do that without -- upon Board request, it will remain on the list.

Yes, sir?

1.5

2.1

MR. DARNALL: I have a question.

Should that not be voted on by the Board, or is that just any single Board member can request that it stay on?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: It starts over, but that had been -- that's what -- the policy the Board voted on previously was that any one Board member could ask for it

to stay and it would start over in the no action count. And then at any time, the Board -- a Board member could make a motion to remove it. You don't have to wait the full, entire time for it to get to five again. The Board -- you could make a motion to remove it at a future meeting, and we could do that.

Yes, sir?

1.5

MR. DARNALL: So it goes back to the beginning count of zero?

MS. MCCURDY: That's correct. Unless you specify something different. But what has been requested is for it to go back on the list. But, yes, a vote of the Board -- you know, full vote could remove it from the list at any time.

Dr. Powers?

DR. POWERS: The last meeting, I was the one that asked for Natural Bridge to go on one more time so we could send the county manager and everybody the message that basically this is it, all that, but I

thought it was only just one more time I 1 could ask. I mean, I was --2 MS. MCCURDY: You did specify --3 I had specified just one DR. POWERS: 4 5 more term. MS. MCCURDY: You would like to change 6 that today? 7 8 DR. POWERS: No, no. MS. MCCURDY: All right. So absent 9 further discussion, the Saginaw Swamp will 10 be renewed. Again, that is open to 11 further vote action at a different time in 12 That was just a way of sort 1.3 the future. of cleaning up each meeting what we could 14 from the list. 1.5 MR. JONES: Can we vote on that now? 16 17 MS. MCCURDY: Absolutely. With a motion, sure. And I would just say a 18 motion to remove from the short list. 19 You would need the nine vote. 20 2.1 MR. SATTERFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I make the motion that we remove Saginaw Swamp 22

from the short list.

MR. JONES: Second.

1.5

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: So the motion is that we remove the Saginaw Swamp from the short list of nominations. That motion was made by Mr. Satterfield; seconded by Mr. Jones.

MR. SATTERFIELD: And I'd like to speak to my motion.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir, please do.

MR. SATTERFIELD: We've been through this Saginaw Swamp it seems for like five or six years I've served on this Board. It's come up before twice for a vote, we move to an appraisal -- first appraisal, and it was defeated in the decisive votes both times. I don't --

Nothing has changed. The local community there around the swamp has not come forward with any plan to propose to solve the problems with that property. Shelby County has said they're willing to do it, but at one time, Shelby County had

higher priorities, which we have really been working with, like the Belcher property and property that was voted on today and others. This is such a low priority piece of property that doesn't suit, in my mind, being part of the Forever Wild holdings, and that's the reason I'm now urging that we remove it from the list and not have to deal with it anymore because it just is — it seems to me it's not a suitable Forever Wild property.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Any other discussion on that?

All those in favor of removing the Saginaw Swamp from the short list please say aye.

Any opposed?

MR. HOLLOWAY: Opposed.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: One opposed.

The motion carries.

MS. MCCURDY: Okay. If the Board

would now look at Tab 5c. As the Board likely recalls, within the first year of acquiring a property, we are required to submit a management plan. Obviously, it's early in the tract's life, but it is to go ahead and set sort of a plan for ongoing management. We try to bring these to the Board a meeting in advance in case there are questions or perhaps we don't have a quorum or we need to make revisions, whatever.

1.3

1.5

2.1

At this meeting, there are two tracts that it's time to address management plans for, one is the Red Hills Complex-Parris Trust Addition in Monroe County. Being an addition in contiguous acreage, we recommend just amending the current Red Hills Complex Management Plan to specifically include that tract. The second tract is the Oak Mountain State Park-Belcher Addition. This is, although adjacent to Oak Mountain State Park, a new acquisition for this

program; and, therefore, we have established a separate management plan in conjunction with the State Parks Division. And I failed to mention the Wildlife and Freshwater Fisheries Division. We worked with them on the Red Hills tract. But if the Board has no questions or recommended, suggested, requested revisions, I will need a motion from the Board to approve those two management plans.

Dr. Powers?

DR. POWERS: I'd like to make a motion the Board approves the State Lands
Division proceeding with the inclusion of the Red Hills Complex-Parris Trust
Addition into an existing management plan and development of a new management plan for the Oak Mountain State Park-Belcher
Tract Addition as set forth in the memorandum dated August 4, 2022.

MR. DARNALL: Second.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Seconded by Mr. Darnall.

1.5

2.1

All those in favor say aye.

Any opposed?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

None opposed. The motion carries.

MS. MCCURDY: If the Board will now look at Tab 5d. I am not going to go through 5d, but what this is is annually at this meeting, the August meeting, we present activity reports from staff kind of describing some of what they did over the last year. It's obviously not the full fiscal year yet ending September 30, but we use this meeting to kind of give you a -- reflect on what the staff has worked on. It's divided. Although there are -- what's represented here is the State Lands Division staff. We have great assistance and cooperation from Forever Wild and State Parks, but this is sort of my staff's report to you. And the statistics that Commissioner went through earlier are, you know, the result of that hard work, and there's a lot of administrative work that goes on that's,

you know, obviously not reflected in that -- in those statistics. But it also shows not only how much work has been done, both from the Natural Heritage Section and the database and the information that we obtain and then maintain, the administrative processing of information for this Board, which is extensive, it details some of the what I'll call our Recreation Section. again, this is all within -- we all work together. It's all State Lands, but kind of outlines the breakdown of the trails, where they are, where we maintain them. Some of the work that sounds routine, it is routine, replacing, you know, foot bridges or adding, you know, access Whatever we've done, it's been points. a lot of work.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

And we do highlight separately two of our facilities, the Wehle Land Conservation Center and the Forever Wild Field Trail Area. And that's an

especially unique one, I think. I think that the -- the former cattle ranch in Hale County, that was previously a Department of Corrections property, and I think that property really demonstrates the efforts that we have to accomplish multi-use management. We have 30 Dog events, I believe, various events over the last year. We have hosted Autobahn events. We have had numerous youth hunts. I'm very proud of the programs we have that fosters youth hunting, dove and deer as an example. Just a lot of different activities, including some work on the native grasses there. So it really runs the spectrum of activities. It is a unique property.

We have in the field every day in the heat and the elements and interacting with the public sometimes coming up on very dangerous situations, we have our Stewardship section, which is really our enforcement section of law enforcement,

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

who really balance both the resource protection, be it the timber or doing physical work on the property, and, again, just general security.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

We also run through the forest management activities over the last year. We have had an up and down year. I think with the market, we've had some bid notices not have any bidders come back, so -- but we have done a lot of good things and had some good sales, so that's detailed. So I would just encourage y'all to take the time -- I know we're running long, so I'm not going to. But it's a lot I stand up and talk, but it's of work. the staff who does everything and, again, across the divisions. But unless there are any questions, I will move on to the next tab.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Mr. Jones?

MR. JONES: First of all, it's great

work, but I noticed in some of this y'all

are burning in the month of April. Can we

move that to like February? Because you might potentially be damaging turkeys' nests because that's a crucial time of year for turkeys to be running fire through a system like that. That's not ideal.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. MCCURDY: Sure. And I'll be happy to sit down and have our staff, if you don't mind, taking some time to run through the thought process and the planning for when those did take place and the areas in which they did. I would certainly appreciate and welcome that interaction. We, I believe, were taking into account all factors, but there are a lot of members of this Board that have a lot of expertise both as private landowners but also in your careers. so, yes, I would appreciate any interaction one on one with our staff, our Stewardship staff. So, yes, please bring forward -- I'll arrange that and we'll run through it.

MR. JONES: Thank you.

1.3

1.5

2.1

MS. MCCURDY: Any other comments or questions?

Okay. The next item -- and actually this will be the last thing that we have to cover -- is the Forestry

Management Activity approval request.

That's Tab 5e.

Now, obviously, it's somewhat difficult to anticipate what the timber activities will be, but we do our best to identify tracts that have — for example, actually have contracts in place where the thinning or the cut has not been completed. So I've tried to include those in this memo, so we divide them in thinning activities at various stages, but the various thinning activities, what we consider under our final harvest category. We also note for the Board each time this year where we anticipate needing to take reforestation actions specifically. We have that included. We're also — you

know, we always do more flexibility if we see an issue with the timber health, we always have different market conditions, we have hurricanes, tornadoes, just what everybody else deals with, so there's some flexibility. But I'll take any questions, but we did include a motion. And I'm not going to run through all the properties, but you've got them in Tab 5e. So I'll give you a second and let me know if you have any questions. If not, we would like a motion. We do consider this a specific activity we do ask, you know, for Board approval on.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Do I have a motion from the Board to approve the forest management activity?

DR. SALOOM: So moved.

DR. MCCLINTOCK: Second.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Moved by

Dr. Saloom; seconded by Dr. McClintock.

All right. Any questions on that?

3 All those in favor say aye.

1.5

Any opposed? 1 None opposed. Thank you. 2 The next order of business is to 3 approve the minutes from the May 5th Board 4 meeting. Are there any additions or 5 corrections to the minutes? 6 MR. OATES: Motion to approve. 7 8 DR. POWERS: Second. MR. OATES: Motion by Mr. Oates; 9 second by Dr. Powers to approve the 10 minutes. 11 All those in favor say aye. 12 Any opposed? 13 None opposed. 14 The next meeting will be November 1.5 the 3rd at the Mecca of college football 16 in Tuscaloosa, Alabama. I realize that 17 not all you find that as the Mecca. 18 However, we will be meeting there on 19 November the 3rd. 20 MS. MCCURDY: I'll be in a good mood. 2.1 MR. DARNALL: Mr. Chairman? 22 CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir? 23

MR. DARNALL: I'd like to follow that by saying War Eagle.

DR. MCCLINTOCK: I second that.

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: I will say

before we adjourn, I would like to thank everybody for their work today. I think that we -- you know, we've went for several meetings with certain -- for different reasons without much action on property, but today either put in motion for a second appraisal or a first appraisal was put in the pipeline well over 5500, almost 6,000 acres of property in the state of Alabama that could be set aside for the perpetual use of our citizens. And thank you-all for your work today.

I think there's somebody -- yes,
sir?

MR. WRIGHT: Can I wear my Auburn hat to the next meeting?

CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: Yes, sir.

So is there a motion to adjourn?

1	MR. JONES: So moved.
2	CHAIRMAN BLANKENSHIP: All those in
3	favor say aye.
4	We are adjourned.
5	Thank you.
6	(Proceedings concluded at
7	12:19 p.m.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
ر ک	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

STATE OF ALABAMA

AUTAUGA COUNTY

I, Stacey L. Johnson, Certified Court
Reporter and Commissioner for the State of
Alabama at Large, hereby certify that on
August 4, 2022, I reported the proceedings
in the matter of the foregoing cause, and
that pages 3 through 114 contain a true
and accurate transcription of the
aforementioned proceedings.

I further certify that I am neither of kin nor of counsel to any of the parties to said cause, nor in any manner interested in the results thereof.

This the 12th day of October, 2022.

1.5

2.1

/s/Stacey L. Johnson
STACEY L. JOHNSON, CCR
Commissioner for the
State of Alabama at Large
CCR 386, Expires 9/30/2022
COMMISSION EXPIRES: 6/22/2023